lyosung (America)	, Inc. et al v. Hantle USA, Inc.		Doc. 7
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MATTHEW H. POPPE (STATE BAR NO. 177854 mpoppe@orrick.com KRISTIN S. CORNUELLE (STATE BAR NO. 245 kcornuelle@orrick.com JACOB A. SNOW (STATE BAR NO. 270988) jsnow@orrick.com ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP 1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: 650-614-7400 Facsimile: 650-614-7401  Attorneys for Defendants HANTLE, INC. WON GEE LEE MYUNG WON SUH		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	OAKLAND DIVISION		
13			
14	HYOSUNG (AMERICA), INC. and NAUTILUS HYOSUNG INC.	Case No. CV-10-2160- SBA	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Petitioners,  v.  HANTLE, INC. (fka Hantle USA, Inc.), GENMEGA, INC. (fka Huin, Inc.), WON GEE LEE and MYUNG WON SUH,  Defendants.	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR DEFENDANT WON GEE LEE TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO THE SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT Judge: Hon. Saundra B. Armstrong	
21			
22		-	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	OHS WEST:261141675.1	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR WON GEE LEE TO ANSWER OR RESPOND CASE NO. CV-10-2160- SBA	)

1	WHEREAS, Defendant Won Gee Lee ("Mr. Lee") is subject to a criminal indictment in				
2	the Republic of Korea;				
3	WHEREAS, in light of the ongoing criminal proceeding in Korea, Mr. Lee plans to file a				
4	motion to stay the instant proceedings and/or a motion for a protective order in this matter;				
5	WHEREAS, the parties have agreed to extend the time for Mr. Lee to answer Plaintiffs'				
6	6 Second Amended Complaint, subject to the conditions below;				
7	NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Local Civil Rules 6-1(a), 7-1(a), and 7-12, all parties,				
8	8 by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:				
9	1. The deadline for Mr. Lee to answer or otherwise respond to the Second Amended				
0	Complaint is extended to May 13, 2011.				
1	2. Any motion to stay and/or motion for a protective order governing Mr. Lee and/or				
12	any of the other defendants based on the ongoing Korean criminal proceedings				
13	and/or the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution shall be filed no				
4	later than May 13, 2011, though this stipulation shall not preclude future motions				
15	for a stay or a protective order that may be based on new or changed circumstances				
16	and are not being relied on as a basis for the present extension;				
17	3. Defendants shall meet and confer with Plaintiffs with respect to any such motion				
18	to stay and/or motion for a protective order before filing;				
9	4. Plaintiffs shall file their opposition to any such motion to stay and/or motion for a				
20	protective order on or before June 3, 2011;				
21	5. Defendant(s) shall file any reply within seven (7) days of the date that Plaintiffs				
22	file their opposition;				
23	6. The parties jointly request that the Court issue a ruling on such motion to stay				
24	and/or motion for a protective order as soon as possible;				
25	7. This stipulation shall not extend any other applicable deadline in the litigation; and				
26	8. Mr. Lee and the other defendants shall participate in the Case Management				
27	Conference scheduled for May 25, 2011 and the ENE Conference scheduled for				
28	June 22, 2011, and shall not seek to postpone those events. STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR WON GEE LEE TO ANSWER OR RESPONI CASE NO. CV-10-2160- SBA				

1	Dated: May 3, 2011	MATTHEW H. POPPE KRISTIN S. CORNUELLE
2		JACOB A. SNOW ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
3		By: /s/ Matthew H. Poppe /s/ Matthew H. Poppe
		••
5		Attorneys for Defendants HANTLE, INC.
6		WON GEE LEE MYUNG WON SUH
7	Dated: May 3, 2011	ADAM A. LEWIS
8 9		GRANT L. KIM ALISON M. TUCHER BARBARA N. BARATH
10		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
11		
12		By: /s/ Grant L. Kim /s/ [as authorized] Grant L. Kim
13		Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14		HYOSUNG (AMERICA), INC. NAUTILUS HYOSUNG, INC.
15	D . 1 M . 2 2011	MICHAEL LIMBIG WONG
16	Dated: May 3, 2011	MICHAEL LI-MING WONG THAD A. DAVIS
17		ROCKY C. TSAI ROPES & GRAY LLP
18		
19		By:/s/ Thad A. Davis /s/ [as authorized]
20		Thad A. Davis
21		Attorneys for Defendant GENMEGA, INC.
22		
23	PROPOSED ORDER	
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	Dated: <u>5/6/11</u>	By: Samula B Ornshing United States District Court Jude
27		2 2 2 Court vauge
28		STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR WON GEE LEE TO -2- ANSWER OR RESPOND CASE NO. CV-10-2160- SBA
	OHS WEST:261141675.1	CASE NO. C v -10-2100- SDA