1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>HOPE ANNE CASE, CA Bar No. 157089</li> <li>hope.case@dlapiper.com</li> <li>AMY E. BECKSTEAD, CA Bar No. 216422</li> <li>amy.beckstead@dlapiper.com</li> <li>DLA PIPER LLP (US)</li> <li>2000 University Avenue</li> <li>East Palo Alto, CA 94303-2214</li> <li>Tel: 650.833.2000</li> <li>Fax: 650.833.2001</li> <li>Attorneys for Defendant</li> <li>UNITED AIR LINES, INC. (erroneously sue</li> <li>UNITED AIRLINES, INC.)</li> </ul>	
8		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	MR. BERNARD P. CORBINS,	CASE NO. CV 10-02312 SBA
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER
13	v.	SELECTING ADR PROCESS
14	UNITED AIRLINES, INC.,	Complaint Filed: June 2, 2010
15 16	Defendant.	
10		
17	Plaintiff and counsel for Defendant re	port that they have met and conferred regarding
10	ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:	
20	The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:	
21	Court Processes:	
22	Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)	
23	<ul><li>☑ Early Neutral Evaluation (EN)</li><li>☑ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)</li></ul>	$E) \qquad (ADR L.R. 5)$
24	(Note: Parties who believe that an early settl	
25	ADR phone conference. See Civil Local Rul	han any other form of ADR, must participate in an form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for $le_16_8$ and ADR LR $_{3-5}$
26		2 10 0 unu mbr. L.n. 5-57
27	Private Process:	
28	Private ADR (please identify process and provider)	
DLA PIPER LLP (US) East Palo Alto	EAST\44113636.1 STIPULATIO	-1- N AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS CV 10-02312 SBA

1	The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:	
2	the presumptive deadline ( <i>The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order</i>	
3	referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.) other requested deadline 150 days from the date of the order referring the case	
4	to an ADR process.	
5		
6	Dated: Bernard Corbins, Plaintiff	
7	DLA Piper LLP (US)	
8	Dated:	
9		
10	Hope Anne Case, Esq. Amy E. Beckstead, Esq. Attorneys for Defendant UNITED AIR LINES,	
11	Attorneys for Defendant UNITED AIR LINES, INC.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	_2_	
A PIPER LLP (US) East Palo Alto	-2- EAST\44113636.1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS CV 10-02312 SBA	

DLA PIPER

1	ORDER REGARDING SELECTION OF ADR PROCESS	
2	Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:	
3	<ul> <li>Non-binding Arbitration</li> <li>Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)</li> </ul>	
4	<ul> <li>Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)</li> <li>Mediation</li> <li>Private ADR</li> </ul>	
5	Deadline for ADR session	
6		
7	<ul> <li>90 days from the date of this order.</li> <li>other <u>150 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process.</u></li> </ul>	
8		
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: 2/1/11	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
19 20		
20 21		
21		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DLA PIPER LLP (US) East Palo Alto	-3- EAST\44113636.1 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS CV 10-02312 SBA	
	Cv 10-02312 SBA	