

Dockets.Justia.com

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

25

26

27

the authority to issue stays, and the habeas statute does not deprive them of that authority. <u>Rhines v.</u> <u>Webber</u>, 125 S. Ct. 1528, 1535 (2005). A stay is appropriate where the district court determines that good cause existed for the petitioner's failure to exhaust his claims in state court, and that such claims are potentially meritorious. <u>Id.</u>; <u>see also Pace</u>, 125 S. Ct. at 1813-14.

Here, it appears that good cause exists for Petitioner's failure to exhaust his claims on direct appeal because his claims could be raised by way of state habeas corpus. Moreover, the claims state cognizable bases for federal habeas relief. This is Petitioner's first habeas petition, and there is no evidence that he seeks the stay for improper purposes. <u>See Fetterly v. Paskett</u>, 997 F.2d 1295, 1301-02 (9th Cir. 1993) (holding that a stay for the purpose of permitting exhaustion of unexhausted claims should be granted only if the claims petitioner seeks to pursue are cognizable under § 2254; there is a likelihood of prejudice to petitioner if the stay is not granted; and there is no evidence that the motion for a stay is brought to delay, vex, or harass, or that the request is an abuse of the writ). Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Petitioner's request for a stay.

These proceedings are hereby STAYED pending Petitioner's exhaustion of his state judicial
remedies. Petitioner must act diligently in exhausting his state judicial remedies, or the stay may be
lifted. He must file quarterly reports describing the progress of his state court proceedings,

commencing thirty (30) days from the date of this Order and continuing every ninety (90) days
thereafter until his state court proceedings are terminated. He must also attach to his status reports
copies of the cover page of any document that he files with or receives from the state courts relating
to the claims.

The Clerk of the Court shall ADMINISTRATIVELY CLOSE the file pending the stay of this action. Nothing further will take place in this action until Petitioner receives a final decision from the highest state court and, within **thirty (30) days** of doing so, moves to reopen the action, lift the Court's stay and amend the stayed petition to add the newly-exhausted claims.

Petitioner's application to proceed in forma pauperis is GRANTED.

This Order terminates Docket nos. 2, 3.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 DATED: 6/25/10

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	FOR THE
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	
6	LEE JOHN GREER, Case Number: CV10-02380 SBA
7	Plaintiff, <b>CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE</b>
8	v.
9	WARDEN OF CORCORAN STATE PRISON et al,
10	Defendant.
11	/
12	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
13	
14	That on June 25, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said
15	nvelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle ocated in the Clerk's office.
16	
17	
18	Lee John Greer F-81959 Corcoran State Prison
19	4001 King Road Corcoran, CA 93212
20	Dated: June 25, 2010
21	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk
22	
23	
24 25	
25 26	
26 27	
27 28	
28	
	G:\PRO-SE\SBA\HC.10\Greer2380.Stay.frm 3

United States District Court For the Northern District of California