

EXHIBIT B

A message from Plaintiff to Google in December of 2009 using their 'Report Abusive' feature associated with the on line 'Courtesy Advertisement' for Cal Bay Construction and Castle Roofing the message to Google reads as follows:

Hello,

I'm the owner of Cal-Bay Construction and the other name mentioned of Castle Roofing And Construction. I had thought I would start advertising in Yellow Pages and Newspapers when the economy turned South. This is something I had never had to do before, because my business was always successful without it. I thought Cal-Bay Construction was too generic of a name and decided to change it to Castle Roofing And Construction for advertising purposes, after doing so I decided to retire instead. Castle Roofing And Construction never contracted with anyone and I inactivated my licenses and retired. Besides roofing I built homes as well or did before I retired.

Because of over 5,000 successful roof completions as Cal-Bay Construction and over 25 years of roofing I gave my phone and office to another contractor - this is necessary because of call backs, leaks, request for warranty service, etc. which I am legally bound to take care of. My licenses are now inactive because I retired but still valid as a B-1 General & Roofing C-39 (Without ever a complaint I might add); they are with the (CSLB) State License Board and the bonding is current with a \$12,500 deposit for injured consumers in the event a contractor doesn't perform his rightful duties or dies; bonding is in effect for any and all contracts I ever had for four years from the date of the contract, weather I'm around or not.

I pay 'Castle Roofing' - [not to be confused with 'Castle Roofing And Construction' a name I never used in trade but registered with the CSLB before retiring] to remedy any and all roof related problems on behalf of my closed company Cal-Bay Construction; my understanding is that the statute of limitations on my written contracts is five years in California. Castle Roofing has done a great job with my current customers in servicing their needs.

The defamation within the posting is anonymous, hiding behind Goggles, Yoho, and others. It reads more true as a defamation and a complaint; a customer claiming my roof is leaking and they say they'll fix it but don't know how and that they should fix it.

How is it possible to have an anonymous complaint with a roof leaking, presumably causing emanate damage and possible bodily harm to someone's person or home and at the same time not be able to find out who is in trouble. Goggles and Yoyo both refuse to reveal the identity of the posting or give any detail.

In California where I did business up until last year an insurance company stands with their hand out holding \$12,500.00 in deposit to guarantee the performance of my work, even if I'm dead.

The party complaining and defaming my good company name obviously can not or did not make a complaint with the CSLB, Cal-Bay Construction, or the Insurance Company. They've instead chosen an incredible technology for anonymous revenge.

My first reaction here is I worked twenty five years, maintained a great reputation, and retired to only have my career finish with an anonymous defamation posted here for millions to see indefinitely.

My second reaction is that Goggles and Yoyo both ignore and refuse repeated request to validate the anonymous roofing complaint, even though the party is screaming for help and the company reputation is being destroyed. The bigger issue is, "What Should Goggles Do?"

When Goggles received notice of the problematic publication, it's was their decision to turn a blind eye toward the victim of the roofer and leave the career damaging post for all to see. Leaving both victims to suffer.

My thought is when Goggles sees a victim of civil wrong doing or someone injured and can fix it with a couple mouse clicks they should. Or should they? It takes time to read and correct peoples problems. Should they fix problems for free?

Perhaps the complaining party wants the roofer to fix something for free. Should he do it? Perhaps the roofer is just like Goggles and did not fix someone's roof because it was someone else's work. Perhaps a solar system or roof windows were leaking and someone wanted it fixed for free. That would explain an anonymous post rather than a legitimate complaint resolution.

Confucius said something about if the motive is revenge prepare two caskets.

I love Goggles, if they weren't so cute one could just smack 'em.

Associated 'Courtesy Advertisement'

Having had my roof re-roofed by CalBay Construction which is now Castle Roofing & Construction, and then finding that they did such a poor job and my roof leaked from the beginning of rains in 2008, they still have not repaired my roof and it still leaks after a year and a half. They say they will fix it but changing names from Calbay Construction to Caslte Roofing & Construction should have tipped me off that I may never get my roof repaired. This company says it will fix my roof but all I get is excuses. After 18 months you would think they would fix it. CalBay Construction may no longer exist but the new company Castle Roofing & Construction as the new entity needs to come out and fix my roof. I find this to be totally unsatisfactory work and would not recommend this company (Caslte Roofing & Construction) to anyone. They just do not know how to fix a bad roof job.