Kramer v. Autobytel Inc et al

Doc. 123

1	DATED: July 18, 2011.	REED	SMITH LLP
2		ъ	/ / D
3		By	/s/ Roxanne M. Wilson Roxanne M. Wilson
4			Jack R. Nelson Attorneys for Defendant
5			LeadClick Media, Inc.
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14		<u>ORDE</u>	<u>CR</u>
15	Pursuant to stipulation and for	r good c	ause shown, IT IS SO ORDERED.
16			
17	DATED. July 19 20	1 1	
18	DATED:, 20	11	The Handrable Claudia Wilken
19			United States District Court Judge
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
2728			

42762.1 - 2 -

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
3	CHRISTOPHER KRAMER, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	No. 10-cv-02722-CW	
4	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION TO	
5	<u> </u>	ADVANCE PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING	
6	v.)	APPROVAL HEARING	
7	AUTOBYTEL, INC., a Delaware corporation, and B2MOBILE, LLC, a California limited	Honorable Claudia A. Wilken	
8	liability company, and LEADCLICK MEDIA, INC., a California corporation,		
9	<u> </u>		
10	Defendants.		
11	DECLARATION OF CHRISTOPHER L. DO	DE IN CURDODE OF CEINIL ATION TO	
	ADVANCE PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING		
12	_		
13	Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, Christopher L. Dore, hereby declare and state as follows:		
14	1. I am an attorney admitted to practice in the State of Illinois and have been		
15	admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> in this matter. I am entering this declaration in support of the Parties'		
16	Stipulation to Advance Preliminary Approval Hearing. I am fully competent to make this		
17	declaration. This declaration is based upon my personal knowledge, except where expressly		

The Parties seek to advance the Preliminary Approval hearing based on deadlines

The Parties have requested a limited number of timing changes during the course

set forth by Rust Consulting and Kinsella Media to accomplish the publication portion of the

Approval to the Settlement Agreement at a later hearing date than July 28, 2011, publication

modifications include: (1) extension of time for Defendants to respond to Plaintiff's complaint

No. 61); (3) modification of the briefing schedule for Defendants' motions to dismiss as it

(Dkt. No. 29); (2) modification of the briefing schedule for Defendants' motions to dismiss (Dkt.

Notice Plan called for in the Settlement Agreement. Should the Court grant Preliminary

of this case, all of which were made in good faith and based on reasonable need. The

notice will be materially postponed by up to two months.

noted otherwise.

2.

3.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

applied to the United States intervention (Dkt. No. 82); (4) joint stipulation to vacate dates 2 pending class action settlement (Dkt. No. 111); (5) extension of deadlines regarding the 3 settlement agreement and preliminary approval motion (Dkt. No. 113); and (6) further extension 4 of deadlines regarding the settlement agreement and preliminary approval motion (Dkt. No. 5 115). 6 4. The requested modification in the Parties' Stipulation to Advance Preliminary 7 Approval Hearing will not materially impact the case in a negative manner. As stated above, the 8 modification is necessary to ensure the timely and efficient distribution of notice and the 9 eventual complete resolution of this case. 10 5. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. 11 12 Respectfully Submitted, 13 DATED: July 18, 2011. 14 15 By /s/ Christopher L. Dore
Christopher L. McGUIF /s/ Christopher L. Dore 16 EDELSON McGUIRE, LLC 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff Christopher Kramer 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28