1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED,	No. C-10-02769-CW (DMR)
10	Plaintiff(s),	ORDER FOR FURTHER BRIEFING FROM PLAINTIFF
11	V.	FROMILAMITE
12	KORNRUMPF,	
13	Defendant(s).	
14		
15	The court is in receipt of Defendants' submission of September 14, 2011 [Docket No. 99], is	
16	which Defendants claim to have shown that they have purchased Adobe products sold by Fujitsu	
17	America. The court interprets the submission as a renewed opposition to Plaintiff's motion to quasl	
18	Defendants' subpoena of Fujitsu America. The court therefore ORDERS Plaintiff to file a response	
19	to this submission no later than September 21, 2011, explaining whether Defendants have produced	
20	sufficient evidence to move forward with their subpoena or why the court should quash said	
21	subpoena.	ATES DISTRICT
22		
23	IT IS SO ORDERED.	IT IS SO ORDERED
24		
25	Dated: September 14, 2011	Z Judge Donna M. Ryu
26		NAN RYII
27		United States Magistrate Judge
28		DISTRICT OF