

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3
4 ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED,

No. C 10-2769 CW

5 Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING
DEFENDANTS'
ADMINISTRATIVE
MOTION TO EXTEND
TIME FOR DISCOVERY
(Docket No. 114)

6 v.

7 HOOPS ENTERPRISE LLC; and ANTHONY
8 KORNRUMPF,

9 Defendants.

10 _____/
11 AND ALL RELATED CLAIMS
12 _____/

13 On October 11, 2011, Defendants and Cross-Complainants Hoops
14 Enterprise LLC and Anthony Kornrumpf filed an administrative
15 motion for an extension of the fact discovery deadline. In that
16 motion, Defendants represented that they sought the extension to
17 enforce outstanding third-party subpoenas and to take certain
18 depositions, which they had not yet noticed. On October 19, 2011,
19 after considering the papers submitted by Defendants and by
20 Plaintiff Adobe Systems Incorporated in opposition to the
21 administrative motion, this Court issued an order extending the
22 fact discovery deadline for the limited purpose of executing the
23 third-party subpoenas already issued. The Court declined to
24 extend the fact discovery deadline in its entirety or to allow for
25 Defendants to take additional depositions.

26 On November 7, 2011, Defendants filed a second administrative
27 motion for an order extending the fact discovery deadline. In
28

1 this motion, Defendants make similar arguments about the need for
2 an extension of the fact discovery deadline as those already
3 denied in their initial motion. Plaintiff opposes the second
4 motion as well.

5 Accordingly, having considered the papers submitted by the
6 parties in relation to both motions, the Court DENIES Defendants'
7 second administrative motion for an extension of the fact
8 discovery deadline. (Docket No. 114)

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: 12/1/2011



CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge