

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL J GOODLICK, et al.,
Plaintiff,
v.
APPLE INC, et al.,
Defendants.

No. 10-02862 CW
ORDER
TRANSFERRING CASE
TO SAN JOSE
DIVISION

_____/

All civil actions shall be assigned to the "Courthouse serving the county in which the action arises." Civil L.R. 3.2(c). "A civil action arises in the county in which a substantial part of the events or omissions which give rise to the claim occurred or in which a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated." Id. Civil Local Rule 3-5(b) requires Plaintiffs to include a paragraph entitled "Intradistrict Assignment" in their complaint, which must identify the basis for assignment to a particular division pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-2(c). Here, Plaintiffs failed to include such a paragraph in their First Amended Complaint and they did not allege any connection between this action and the Oakland/San Francisco Division of the Northern District of California. It appears that the relevant acts and omissions alleged by Plaintiff occurred within the San Jose

1 Division. Accordingly, the Court orders the case transferred to
2 the San Jose Division.¹

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4
5 Dated: 07/13/10

Claudia Wilken

6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

¹From the face of the First Amended Complaint, this is not an intellectual property action and should not be assigned on a district-wide basis.