1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SHARON BRIDGEWATER,

No. 10-03022 CW

ORDER DENYING

Plaintiff,

PLAINTIFF'S

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

TO VACATE OR

HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, et

ALTER JUDGMENT

Defendants.

Plaintiff Sharon Bridgewater moves for reconsideration and to vacate or alter a judgment pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e). This motion seeks to vacate or alter the Court's February 22, 2011 order granting Defendants' motions to dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and to declare Plaintiff a vexatious litigant and denying Plaintiff's motion to amend her complaint.

Rule 59(e) provides that "any motion to alter or amend a judgment shall be filed no later than 10 days after entry of judgment." Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e). Rule 59(e) motions are interpreted as motions for reconsideration, and are appropriate if the district court "(1) is presented with newly discovered evidence, (2) committed clear error or the initial decision was

manifestly unjust, or (3) if there is an intervening change in controlling law." School Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah County, Oregon v. AcandS, Inc., 5 F.3d 1255, 1263 (9th Cir. 1993).

In her motion, Plaintiff repeats many of the arguments addressed in the February 22, 2011 order. She also argues the motion is supported by another amended complaint she wishes to file against Defendants for violations of the Federal False Claims Act. Plaintiff's arguments do not meet any of the requirements for reconsideration. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is denied. The new amended complaint that Plaintiff wishes to file shall be returned to Plaintiff. Plaintiff did not seek leave to file it and cannot file it as a matter of right. See Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a). The above entitled case is closed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 12/6/2011

CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

## United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	BRIDGEWATER,
4	Case Number: CV10-03022 CW Plaintiff,
5	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
6	V.
7	HAYES VALLEY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP et al,
8	Defendant.
9	
10	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.
11	That on December 6, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located
13	in the Clerk's office.
14	
15	Sharon Bridgewater
16	Bridgewater and Company Inc In Care of: Sharon Bridgewater
17	965 Mission Street, Suite 409 San Francisco, CA 94104
18	Dated: December 6, 2011
19	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
20	By: Nikki Riley, Deputy Clerk
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	