1	Richard C. Bolanos, Bar No. 111343		
2	rbolanos@lcwlegal.com Suzanne Solomon, Bar No. 169005 ssolomon@lcwlegal.com		
3	LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE		
4	A Professional Law Corporation 153 Townsend Street, Suite 520		
5	San Francisco, CA 94107 Telephone: (415) 512 3000		
6	Telephone: (415) 512-3000 Facsimile: (415) 856-0306		
7	Attorneys for Defendant MENLO PARK FIRE PROTECTION		
8	DISTRICT		
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12 13	STEVE BALESTRIERI, et al.,	Case No. CV-10-310	02 SBA
13	Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AN	D [PROPOSED] DLINE TO HEAR
15	v.	DISPOSITIVE MO	
16	MENLO PARK FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT,		
17	Defendant.		
18			
19	IT IS HEDEDY STIDIN ATED purposent to I goal Dula 6.2 and the		
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED, pursuant to Local Rule 6-2 and the accompanying Declaration of Suzanne Solomon, between Plaintiffs Steve		
21	Balestrieri et al. and Defendant Menlo Park Fire Protection District, by and through		
22	their counsel, that, with this Court's permission, the following pre-trial deadline is		
23	extended as follows:		
24		Current	Extension
25	Dispositive Motion Hearing	May 24, 2011	June 7, 2011
26	This stimulation does not alter the	a data of any other aver-	t or doudling almosts
27	This stipulation does not alter th	e date of any other even	or deadline already
28	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: DEADLINE TO HEAR DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS		CV-10-3102

	1			
1	fixed by Court order.			
2				
3	Dated: March, 2011	LIEBERT CASSIDY WHITMORE		
4	Dated: Water, 2011			
5		By:		
6		Suzanne Solomon		
7		Attorneys for Defendant MENLO PARK FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT		
8 9	Dated: March, 2011	WOODLEY & MCGILLIVARY		
10				
11		By: Douglas Steele		
12		Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
13				
14	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED, THAT:			
15	1. The deadline for a hearing on a dispositive motion in this action is			
16	June 7, 2011.			
	2. The hearing on the parties' joint motion to bifurcate (Dkt. 30) is			
17	advanced from June 28, 2011, to June 7, 2011 at 1:00 p.m.			
18	3. The Court, in its discretion, may decide the motion(s) without oral			
19	argument, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b), in which case no			
20	appearance for the motion(s) will be necessary. The parties are advised to check			
21	the Court's website to determine whether a court appearance is required.			
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
23	II IS SO ORDERED.			
24				
25	Dated: April 12 2011	Samuela B Oranstona		

159978.1 ME005-015

26

27

28

Saundra Brown Armstrong

United States District Judge