

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8 OAKLAND DIVISION

9 ROSEANNE DIMENCO, TYRONE
10 DICKENS, RICHARD MARLOWE, JUAN
11 ANTONIO MOLINA, NICHOLAS
12 PALAFOX, TONI RANGEL, STARLA
13 ROLLINS, MYKELE SAUNDERS, and
14 MARTHA VAZQUEZ,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 vs.

17 SERVICE EMPLOYEES INTERNATIONAL
18 UNION, DAVID REGAN, and ELISEO
19 MEDINA,

20 Defendants.

Case No: C 10-03112 SBA

**ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE
DISMISSAL**

21 On January 10, 2011, the Court granted Defendants' motion to disqualify Plaintiffs'
22 counsel. Dkt. 50. The Court granted Plaintiffs thirty days to retain new counsel and to file
23 a substitution of counsel. The Court scheduled a Case Management Conference for April
24 28, 2011, and directed Plaintiffs to file a joint Case Management Conference Statement at
25 least ten days prior to that date. Plaintiffs have not filed a substitution of counsel nor have
26 they filed a Case Management Conference Statement. The failure to comply with the
27 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Local Rules of the Court or any Court Order is
28 grounds for dismissal of this action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). See
Ferdick v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260 (9th Cir. 1992). Accordingly,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Case Management Conference previously scheduled for April 28, 2011, is VACATED.

2. The parties shall show cause why the instant action should not be dismissed (1) under Rule 41(b) for failure to comply with a Court order and (2) on mootness grounds, for the reasons set forth in Defendants' Case Management Statement filed on April 18, 2011 (Dkt. 51). Within seven (7) days of the date this order is filed, the parties shall file a Certificate of Counsel to explain why the case should or should not be dismissed. The Certificate shall set forth the nature of the cause, its present status, the reason it has not been brought to trial or otherwise terminated, any basis for opposing dismissal and its expected course if not dismissed. FAILURE TO FULLY COMPLY WITH THIS ORDER WILL BE DEEMED SUFFICIENT GROUNDS TO DISMISS THE ACTION, WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 28, 2011


SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge