

15 Before the court is a request of two of the parties for an order assigning this case to 16 a magistrate judge to conduct a further case management conference to address 17 scheduling and discovery issues. The court will refer discovery disputes to a magistrate 18 judge, but scheduling issues are within the discretion of the trial judge. A pretrial schedule 19 was entered on December 16, 2010 and modified at the parties request on June 29, 2011. 20 The court expects the parties to determine the schedule for discovery events necessary to 21 meet the previously set pretrial deadlines. To that end, all parties shall meet and confer to 22 determine whether the current schedule can be met given the entry into the case of several 23 new parties. A stipulation as to new dates shall be submitted. If the trial date will need to 24 be moved, the parties are advised that the court is setting trials in April - May 2013. If a 25 stipulation cannot be reached by November 1, 2011, all parties shall appear for further case 26 management conference on November 17, 2011, at 2:00 p.m. A joint case management 27 conference statement shall be filed setting forth the various proposals no later than 28 November 10, 2011.

Additionally, the hearing on plaintiff's motion for leave to file an amended complaint is rescheduled from October 12, 2011 to December 14, 2011, at 9:00 a.m. due to the press of other business. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 30, 2011 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON United States District Judge 

United States District Court For the Northern District of California