

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 YESENIA GUITRON and JUDI KLOSEK,

No. C 10-3461 CW (MEJ)

8 Plaintiffs,

DISCOVERY ORDER

9 v.

Re: Docket No. 99

10 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., et al.,

11 Defendants.
_____ /

12
13 Pending before the Court is the parties' joint discovery dispute letter filed on December 14,
14 2011. Dkt. No. 99. In the joint letter, Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., Wells Fargo & Co., and
15 Pam Rubio seek to compel the deposition of Dreydy Metelin on a mutually convenient date before
16 trial. Defendants argue that good cause exists to grant their request because "Plaintiffs Yesenia
17 Guitron and Judi Klosek engaged in obstruction of Defendants' ability to take Ms. Metelin's
18 deposition before the fact discovery cut-off date—October 5, 2011—in this action." *Id.* Federal
19 Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b)(4) permits a court to modify a scheduling order for good cause.
20 However, it is up to the presiding judge to determine whether a scheduling order should be
21 modified. Accordingly, Defendants' request is DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE to bringing the
22 request before the presiding judge, the Honorable Claudia Wilken.

23 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

24
25 Dated: December 14, 2011

26 

27 Maria-Elena James
28 Chief United States Magistrate Judge