

1 IRELL & MANELLA LLP
 Scott D. Baskin, P.C. (84283) (sbaskin@irell.com)
 2 David C. McPhie (231520) (dmcphie@irell.com)
 Cathy Moses (254791) (cmoses@irell.com)
 3 840 Newport Center Drive, Suite 400
 Newport Beach, California 92660-6324
 4 Telephone: (949) 760-0991
 Facsimile: (949) 760-5200
 5

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs
 Western Digital Technologies, Inc. and
 Hitachi Global Storage Technologies, Inc.
 7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
 10 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

11 WESTERN DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES,)
 INC., a Delaware corporation, and HITACHI)
 12 GLOBAL STORAGE TECHNOLOGIES,)
 INC., a Delaware corporation,)
 13 Plaintiffs,)
 14 vs.)
 15 THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE)
 UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM, a Texas)
 16 entity; CARL B. COLLINS, an individual; and)
 17 FARZIN DAVANLOO, an individual,)
 18 Defendants.)

Case No. CV 10-03595-SBA

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS’
 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
 DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL PURSUANT
 TO LOCAL RULE 79-5**

**[Administrative Motion to File Under Seal
 and Declaration in Support Submitted
 Concurrently]**

Complaint Filed: August 16, 2010

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1 As set forth in Plaintiffs Western Digital Technologies, Inc.’s and Hitachi Global Storage
2 Technologies, Inc.’s (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) Administrative Motion to File Documents Under
3 Seal Pursuant To Local Rule 79-5 (“Administrative Motion”), and declaration in support,
4 Plaintiffs lodged with the Court the following documents:

5 1. Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendant The Board of Regents of the University of
6 Texas System’s (“UT”) Motion to Dismiss;

7 2. Plaintiffs’ Opposition to Defendant Carl Collins’ and Farzin Davanloo’s
8 (collectively, the “UT Professors”) Motion to Dismiss or Transfer;


9 3. Exhibits 14 to 19, 23, and 36 to 44 to the Declaration of Cathy Moses In Support of
10 Plaintiffs’ Opposition to UT’s Motion to Dismiss and the UT Professors’ Motion to Dismiss or
11 Transfer;

12 4. Plaintiffs’ Motion for Jurisdictional Discovery; and

13 5. Exhibits 5 and 8 to 10 to the Declaration of David C. McPhie In Support of
14 Plaintiffs’ Motion for Jurisdictional Discovery.

15 Having considered Plaintiffs’ Administrative Motion and supporting papers, and good
16 cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the afore-mentioned documents are to
17 be filed and remain under seal.

18
19 Dated: 1/10/11


Hon. Sandra B. Armstrong
Judge, United States District Court

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28