## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLES S. NORRIS	
Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. CV-10-03624 CW
V. SOUTHWEST WATER COMPANY	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met and confollowing stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8	nferred regarding ADR and have reached the and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the following A	ADR process:
Court Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L. Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)  ✓ Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)  (Note: Parties who believe that an early settleme appreciably more likely to meet their needs than ADR phone conference and may not file this form	(ADR L.R. 5)  ent conference with a Magistrate Judge is any other form of ADR, must participate in an m. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16  Private Process:  Private ADR (please identify proc	
The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:  the presumptive deadline (The deareferring the case to an ADR processor)  other requested deadline	
Dated: 2/2/11	/s/ Bryan J. McCormack Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 2/2/11	/s/ David I. Dalby Attorney for Defendant

## [PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

✓ Mediation Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

✓ 90 days from the date of this order. other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 2/7/2011

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Judial Dill

cc: ADR