## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Renee Tennison		
		CASE NO. CV 10-3671 SBA
	Plaintiff(s),	
Aetna Life Insu Andersen LLP I	V. rance Company; Arthur Log Term Disability Plan, Defendant(s).	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
ŋ <u></u>	/	ē
	sel report that they have met and could pulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-	conferred regarding ADR and have reached the -8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	gree to participate in the following	g ADR process:
(Note: Partie appreciably ADR phone ADR Phone	more likely to meet their needs tha	nent conference with a Magistrate Judge is in any other form of ADR, must participate in an orm. They must instead file a Notice of Need for 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
The parties a □		leadline is 90 days from the date of the order occess unless otherwise ordered.)
<b>4</b>	other requested deadline 120 day	ys from the date of the order referring case to ADR process
Dated: July 29	9, 2011	/s/ Peter Sessions Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: July 29	9, 2011	/s/ Tamar Karaguezian Attorney for Defendant

## APROPOSED ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- □ Non-binding Arbitration
- ☐ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- Mediation
- □ Private ADR

## Deadline for ADR session

- □ 90 days from the date of this order.
- other 120 days from date of orders referring to ADR process.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 8/11/11

UNITED STATES DISTRICT

Sandre B. Ormit

JUDGE