

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Northern District of California

Oakland Division

DONALD C WASHINGTON,

No. C 10-03751 LB

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANT
AT&T CORP. TO FILE
MEMORANDUM CLARIFYING ITS
REPRESENTATION BY 5:00 PM ON
OCTOBER 22, 2010**

AT & T CORP, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Defendant AT&T Corp. removed this case from California state court on August 23, 2010.

Notice of Removal, ECF No. 1. In its Notice of Removal, Defendant AT&T Corp. states that Plaintiff has not served AT&T Inc. with the Complaint. *Id.* at 2. On September 27, 2010, Plaintiff filed a Motion to Remand the case back to state court. Motion to Remand, ECF No. 6. Defendant AT&T Corp. filed an Opposition to the Motion to Remand on October 14, 2010. Opposition, ECF No. 11. Because counsel for AT&T Corp. has signed all of the documents it has filed with the Court solely on behalf of AT&T Corp. and not AT&T Inc., it appears that AT&T Inc. has not yet made an appearance in this action. Notice of Removal, ECF No. 1 at 6; Certificate of Interested Entities, ECF No. 2 at 2; Proof of Service, ECF No. 4 at 11; Consent to Proceed Before U.S. Magistrate, ECF No. 9 at 1; Opposition to Motion to Remand, ECF No. 11 at 15. However, counsel for Defendant AT&T Corp. appears on the docket sheet as the counsel of record for AT&T Inc. As a result, the

///

///

C 10-03751

1 Court **HEREBY ORDERS** Counsel for Defendant AT&T Corp. to file a memorandum clarifying
2 whether it represents AT&T Inc. in the pending suit by 5:00 p.m. on October 22, 2010.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: October 21, 2010



5 LAUREL BEELER
6 United States Magistrate Judge

7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28