

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT BEARD,

No. C 10-03837 SBA (PR)

Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR  
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL**

v.

WARDEN HARRINGTON,

Defendant.

---

Plaintiff has filed a motion for appointment of counsel to represent him in this action.

There is no constitutional right to counsel in a civil case unless an indigent litigant may lose his physical liberty if he loses the litigation. See Lassiter v. Dep't of Soc. Servs., 452 U.S. 18, 25 (1981); Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997) (no constitutional right to counsel in § 1983 action), withdrawn in part on other grounds on reh'g en banc, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998) (en banc). The court may ask counsel to represent an indigent litigant under 28 U.S.C. § 1915 only in "exceptional circumstances," the determination of which requires an evaluation of both (1) the likelihood of success on the merits, and (2) the ability of the plaintiff to articulate his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. See id. at 1525; Terrell v. Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1986). Both of these factors must be viewed together before reaching a decision on a request for counsel under § 1915. See id.

1           The Court is unable to assess at this time whether exceptional circumstances exist which  
2 would warrant seeking volunteer counsel to accept a pro bono appointment. The proceedings are at  
3 an early stage and it is premature for the Court to determine Plaintiff's likelihood of success on the  
4 merits. Moreover, Plaintiff has been able to articulate his claims adequately pro se in light of the  
5 complexity of the issues involved. See Agyeman v. Corrs. Corp. of Am., 390 F.3d 1101, 1103 (9th  
6 Cir. 2004). Accordingly, the request for appointment of counsel at this time is DENIED. This does  
7 not mean, however, that the Court will not consider appointment of counsel at a later juncture in the  
8 proceedings; that is, after Defendants have filed their dispositive motion such that the Court will be  
9 in a better position to consider the procedural and substantive matters at issue. Therefore, Plaintiff  
10 may file a renewed motion for the appointment of counsel after Defendants' dispositive motion has  
11 been filed. If the Court decides that appointment of counsel is warranted at that time, it will seek  
12 volunteer counsel to agree to represent Plaintiff pro bono.

13           This Order terminates Docket no. 9.

14           IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 DATED: 2/28/11

  
SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG  
United States District Judge

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 FOR THE  
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

4 ROBERT BEARD,  
5 Plaintiff,

Case Number: CV10-03837 SBA

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

6 v.

7 HARRINGTON et al,  
8 Defendant.

9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District  
10 Court, Northern District of California.

11 That on February 28, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said  
12 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said  
13 envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle  
14 located in the Clerk's office.

15 Robert Beard H-95755  
16 Salinas Valley State Prison  
17 P.O. Box 1020  
18 Soledad, CA 93960

19 Dated: February 28, 2011

20 Richard W. Wiekling, Clerk  
21 By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk