

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

16
17

18
19
20

21
22

- 23
24
25
26
27
28

1 of Application No. 95/001,458 and Application No. 95/001,434, decision of all
2 reexamination-related appeals by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in the
3 appealed case; or

- 4 3. The Deadline to File an Appeal When None Filed in Either Case: The deadline for the
5 Parties to file appeals to the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences passing in both
6 Application No. 95/001,458 and Application No. 95/001,434 without an appeal being
7 timely filed in either case.
8

9 Dated: December 22, 2011

10
11 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP

COOLEY LLP

12
13 /s/ Ryan Sandrock

/s/ Orion Armon

14 Ryan Sandrock
15 Attorneys for Centrifry Corp.

Orion Armon
Attorneys for Quest Software, Inc.

16
17 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED:**
18

19 Dated: 12/23/2011

20
21 
22 The Honorable Claudia Wilken
23 United States District Judge
24
25
26
27
28