

United States District Court For the Northern District of California 23

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

25

26

27

1

On or before February 1, 2013, plaintiff must show cause why the action should not be dismissed. Failure to file by such time will result in the dismissal of the action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute.

(Id. at 2-3 (emphasis in original).)

The February 1, 2013 deadline has passed, and Plaintiff has filed no response to the Court's January 10, 2013 Order. A district court may sua sponte dismiss an action for failure to prosecute or to comply with a court order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). See Link v. Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 633 (1962); McKeever v. Block, 932 F.2d 795, 797 (9th Cir. 1991). The court should consider five factors before dismissing an action under Rule 41(b): (1) the public interest in the expeditious resolution of the litigation: (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the availability of less drastic sanctions; and (5) the public policy favoring the disposition of actions on their merits. See Malone v. United States Postal Serv., 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987).¹ The first three factors, above, weigh in favor of dismissal in light of the fact that he has not responded to the Court's January 10, 2013 Order. The fourth factor also weighs in favor of dismissal because less drastic sanctions would have little impact in light of the fact that Plaintiff has been given two opportunities to show cause why his action should not be dismissed on untimeliness grounds. Although the fifth factor appears to weigh against dismissal, dismissal is appropriate in light of the other four factors. See Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 643 (9th Cir. 2002) (finding court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing petition with prejudice where three of the five factors weighed in favor of dismissal).

In light of the foregoing, this action is hereby DISMISSED for failure to prosecute, pursuant
to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). The Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment, close the file,
and terminate any pending motions.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 DATED: February 13, 2013

Mu

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGĒRS UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

 $^{^{1}}$ The court should also afford the litigant prior notice of its intention to dismiss, *id.* at 133, as this Court has done.