

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

28

18 19 5,913,040 ("the '040 Patent"); 6,539,429 ("the '429 Patent"); and 6,317,789 ("the '789 Patent"). On 20 February 14, 2011, HP filed a motion for a protective order to relieve HP from complying with two 21 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(b)(6) depositions noticed by BackWeb. Doc. No. 49. On 22 February 15, 2011, the district court referred HP's motion for a protective order and all future 23 discovery to this Court. Doc. No. 52. On March 9, 2011, BackWeb filed its response to HP's 24 motion and a cross-motion to compel the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition topics. Doc. No. 54. The Court 25 granted the parties' stipulation shortening time to simultaneously hear BackWeb's cross-motion with 26 HP's motion for a protective order set for hearing on March 30, 2011. Doc. No. 57. 27

On March 29, 2011, the parties filed a joint motion to withdraw HP's motion for a protective order and BackWeb's cross-motion to compel because the parties have resolved the discovery

dispute. Doc. No. 60. Therefore, HP's motion for a protective order and BackWeb's cross-motion to compel are now moot. The Court grants the joint motion to withdraw and the hearing set for March 30, 2011 is vacated. IT IS SO ORDERED. NANDOR J. VADAS Dated: March 29, 2011 United States Magistrate Judge