

1 Jerome A. Murphy (*pro hac vice*)  
 David D. Cross (*pro hac vice*)  
 2 Matthew J. McBurney (*pro hac vice*)  
 CROWELL & MORING LLP  
 3 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
 Washington, D.C. 20004  
 4 Telephone: 202-624-2500  
 Facsimile: 202-628-5116  
 5 E-mail: jmurphy@crowell.com  
 dcross@crowell.com  
 6 mmcburney@crowell.com

7 Suzanne E. Rode (CA Bar No. 253830)  
 CROWELL & MORING LLP  
 8 275 Battery Street, 23rd Floor  
 San Francisco, CA 94111  
 9 Telephone: 415-986-2800  
 Facsimile: 415-986-2827  
 10 E-mail: srode@crowell.com

11 *Counsel for Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc.*

12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
 13 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
 14 **OAKLAND DIVISION**

15 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,  
 16  
 Plaintiff,  
 17  
 v.  
 18 MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC. and  
 19 MICRON SEMICONDUCTOR PRODUCTS,  
 20 INC.,  
 21 Defendants.

Case No. 10-cv-04340 PJH

**STIPULATION AND [~~PROPOSED~~]  
 ORDER REGARDING BRIEFING  
 SCHEDULE**

22  
 23  
 24  
 25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1           **WHEREAS**, on January 25, 2012, Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc. (“Oracle”) and  
2 Defendants Micron Technology, Inc. and Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc.’s (collectively,  
3 “Micron”) filed a joint letter brief in connection with Micron’s motion to compel the production  
4 of documents responsive to Micron’s Requests for Production No. 37 and 38 (“Requests”) (Dkt.  
5 No. 87).

6           **WHEREAS**, on February 10, 2012, a telephonic hearing was held before this Court  
7 regarding the motion;

8           **WHEREAS**, on February 16, 2012, this Court issued a Discovery Order (Dkt. No. 91)  
9 (“Order”) requiring Oracle to submit a declaration setting forth the search it conducted in  
10 connection with the Requests and permitting the parties to submit briefing regarding the  
11 adequacy of Oracle’s search;

12           **WHEREAS**, Micron filed a brief contesting the adequacy of Oracle’s search on March 2,  
13 2012;

14           **WHEREAS**, the Order sets March 7, 2012 as the deadline for Oracle to submit a reply to  
15 Micron’s brief; and

16           **WHEREAS**, Oracle believes that additional time may allow the parties to resolve some  
17 or all of the concerns raised in Micron’s brief without further need for intervention by this Court.

18           **NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** that Oracle’s  
19 reply shall be due on March 9, 2012.

20  
21 **IT IS SO STIPULATED**  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

DATE: March 6, 2012

CROWELL & MORING LLP

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP

/s/Matthew J. McBurney  
Matthew J. McBurney  
Crowell & Moring LLP  
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20004

/s/G. Charles Nierlich  
G. Charles Nierlich  
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP  
555 Mission Street, Suite 3000  
San Francisco, CA 94105

*Counsel for Plaintiff Oracle America, Inc.*

*Counsel for Defendants Micron Technology, Inc. and Micron Semiconductor Products, Inc.*

**ATTESTATION OF FILING**

Pursuant to General Oder No. 45 § X(B), I hereby attest that I have obtained concurrence in the filing of this Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Briefing Schedule from all the parties listed in the signature blocks above.


By: /s/Matthew J. McBurney  
Matthew J. McBurney

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**[PROPOSED] ORDER**

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

DATE: March 12, 2012



---

Honorable Joseph Spero  
United States Magistrate Judge