

1 RICHARD C. JOHNSON (SBN 40881)
 SHAAMINI A. BABU (SBN 230704)
 2 SALTZMAN & JOHNSON LAW CORPORATION
 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2110
 3 San Francisco, CA 94104
 (415) 882-7900
 4 (415) 882-9287 – Facsimile
 djohnson@sjlawcorp.com
 5 sbabu@sjlawcorp.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7

8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 OPERATING ENGINEERS’ PENSION
 TRUST FUND, et al.,

Case No.: CV 10-04460 PJH

11

Plaintiffs,

12

vs.

13

WESTERN POWER & EQUIPMENT CORP,
 14 et al.,

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED
 ORDER] TO EXTEND TIME FOR
 DEAN RUBIN, THE RUBIN FAMILY
 IRREVOCABLE TRUST, AND DEAN
 MCLAIN TO RESPOND TO SECOND
 AMENDED COMPLAINT**

15

Defendants.

Complaint Filed: 10/1/10
 FAC Filed: 11/12/10
 SAC Filed: 3/16/11
 Judge: Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton

16

17

18

19

Pursuant to FED. R. CIV. P. RULE 6(b) and CIV. L.R. 6.1, Plaintiffs Operating Engineers’

20

Pension Trust Fund, F.G. Crosthwaite, and Russell E. Burns (“Plaintiffs”) and Defendants Dean

21

Rubin, the Rubin Family Irrevocable Trust, and Dean McLain (“Stipulating Defendants”), by and

22

through the undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

23

1. The instant action is a complex action arising under the Employee Retirement

24

Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (“ERISA”), 29 U.S.C. § 1001 *et seq.* Plaintiffs have

25

named nine Defendants, and are seeking, among other things, money damages, liquidated

26

damages, injunctive relief, and attorneys’ fees and costs.

27

28

-1-
 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED ORDER]
 TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND
 CV 10-04460 PJH

1 2. Pursuant to the Court’s February 16, 2011 Minute Order, the Second Amended
2 Complaint was filed on March 16, 2011; and, pursuant to the Stipulation Regarding Case
3 Management Conference and Related Deadlines, and the Court’s March 24, 2011 Order, Plaintiffs
4 agreed to an extension until May 6, 2011, for Stipulating Defendants to file an answer or
5 responsive pleading to the Second Amended Complaint.

6 3. Since that time, Plaintiffs and Stipulating Defendants (collectively, “the Parties”)
7 have been exploring and continue to explore resolution of this matter. Accordingly, the Parties
8 request a further extension of time up through and including May 26, 2011, for Stipulating
9 Defendants to respond to the Second Amended Complaint.

10 4. The Parties believe that a further extension of the deadline to respond under the
11 circumstances here promotes the interest of judicial economy and will help effectuate a just,
12 speedy, and inexpensive determination of this action. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 1.

13 5. The requested extension will not materially delay the disposition of this action, as
14 the Second Amended was only recently filed on March 16, 2011, and the initial Case Management
15 Conference has been reset for June 2, 2011.

16 6. Therefore, the Parties hereby stipulate that Stipulating Defendants shall have until
17 Thursday, May 26, 2011 to respond to the Second Amended Complaint.

18

19 Dated:

SALTZMAN & JOHNSON
LAW CORPORATION

20

21

By: _____/s/_____

Shaamini A. Babu
Counsel for Plaintiffs

22

23

24 Dated:

TRAVIS & PON

25

26

By: _____/s/_____

Monte Travis
Counsel for Defendants Dean McLain, Robert Rubin,
and Rubin Family Irrevocable Trust

27

28

-2-
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED ORDER]
TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND
CV 10-04460 PJH

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Based on the foregoing Stipulation of the parties, the deadlines currently pending in this action are extended as specified above.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 5/10/11

