

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ALFRED LAM, et al.,
Plaintiff(s),

No. C 10-4641 PJH

v.

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
TO CONSOLIDATE**

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN
FRANCISCO, et al.,
Defendant(s).

_____ /

Before the court is plaintiffs' motion to consolidate this case with previously-filed case *Alfred Lam, et al., v. City and County of San Francisco*, C 08-4702, in which plaintiffs seek to add the same claims to the previously-filed case that they unsuccessfully sought to add by way of a prior motion to supplement the third amended complaint. As stated at the case management conference on September 8, 2011, the court views the attempted consolidation as a means of achieving what plaintiffs could not achieve by way of their motion to supplement. Because discovery has closed in the 2008 case and it is now ready for dispositive motions and trial and because discovery has just commenced in this case, the motion is DENIED. The October 5, 2011 date for hearing on the motion to consolidate is VACATED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 9, 2011



PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
United States District Judge

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California