1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

VICENTE F. LOPEZ,

No. C 10-04734 CW (PR)

_

Petitioner,

v.

GREG LEWIS, Acting Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER GRANTING IN FORMA
PAUPERIS STATUS; DIRECTING
RESPONDENT TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
THE PETITION SHOULD NOT BE
GRANTED; AND DENYING REQUEST
FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

Petitioner, a state prisoner, has filed this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. He also seeks leave to proceed in forma pauperis. It does not appear from the face of the petition that it is without merit. Good cause appearing, the Court hereby issues the following orders:

- 1. Petitioner's application to proceed <u>in forma pauperis</u> is GRANTED.
- 2. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this Order and the petition and all attachments thereto upon Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this Order on Petitioner at his current address.
- 3. Respondent shall file with this Court and serve upon Petitioner, within <u>one-hundred twenty (120) days</u> of the issuance of this Order, an Answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the Answer a copy of all portions of the relevant state records that

2728

¹ Greg Lewis, the current acting warden of the prison where Petitioner is incarcerated, has been substituted as Respondent pursuant to Rule 25(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

- 4. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the Answer, he shall do so by filing a Traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within <u>sixty (60) days</u> of his receipt of the Answer. Should Petitioner fail to do so, the petition will be deemed submitted and ready for decision <u>sixty (60) days</u> after the date Petitioner is served with Respondent's Answer.
- 5. Respondent may file with this Court and serve upon Petitioner, within <u>sixty (60) days</u> of the issuance of this Order, a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an Answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion within <u>sixty (60) days</u> of receipt of the motion, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within <u>fifteen (15)</u> <u>days</u> of receipt of any opposition.
- 6. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the Court and Respondent informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Petitioner must also serve on Respondent's counsel all communications with the Court by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel.
- 7. Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be granted. Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than $\underline{\text{ten }(10) \text{ days}}$ prior to the deadline sought to be extended.
- 8. Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. The Sixth Amendment's right to counsel does not apply in habeas corpus

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

See Knaubert v. Goldsmith, 791 F.2d 722, 728 (9th Cir. 1986) (unless an evidentiary hearing is required, the decision to appoint counsel in habeas corpus proceedings is within the discretion of the district court). Petitioner clearly presented his claims for relief in the petition and an order to show cause is Accord Bashor v. Risley, 730 F.2d 1228, 1234 (9th Cir. issuing. 1984) (although petitioner had no background in law, denial of appointment of counsel within discretion of district court where petitioner clearly presented issues in petition and accompanying memorandum). The Court will appoint counsel on its own motion if an evidentiary hearing is later required. See Knaubert, 791 F.2d at 728 (appointment of counsel mandatory if evidentiary hearing is required). For these reasons, Petitioner's request for the appointment of counsel is DENIED.

9. This Order terminates Docket nos. 2 and 3. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 1/10/2011

CLAUDIA WILKEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
2	VICENTE F. LOPEZ,		
3		Case Number: CV10-04734 CW	
4	Plaintiff,	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
5	V.		
6	FRANCISCO JACQUEZ et al,		
7	Defendant.		
8 9	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am a Court, Northern District of California.	an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District	
10	That on January 10, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said		
11	said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said	sed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing g said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery	
12	receptacle located in the Clerk's office.		
13			
14	Vicente Francisco Lopez V-06465	O. Box 7500	
15	Pelican Bay State Prison P.O. Box 7500		
16	Crescent City, CA 95532		
17	Dated: January 10, 2011	Richard W. Wieking, Clerk	
18		By: Nikki Riley, Deputy Clerk	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			