

response to the order to show cause regarding service of BluHarvest; (2) a Joint Case
Management Conference Statement; (3) Plaintiffs' response to the order to show cause
regarding their failure to comply with the Court's Scheduling Order; and (4) the individual
Defendants' response to the order to show cause regarding their failure to comply with the
Court's Scheduling Order. Dkt. 184, 185, 186, 187. On February 19, 2014, Plaintiffs filed
a request to enter default against WhiteHarvest, fka BluHarvest. Dkt. 188.

7 On February 20, 2014, the Plaintiffs and the individual Defendants' appeared via 8 telephone for the Case Management Conference. During the conference, Plaintiffs stated 9 that they no longer intend to prosecute their overtime claim under the Fair Labor Standards 10 Act ("FLSA"), and that they will file a dismissal of said claim. See Hells Canyon Pres. 11 Council v. United States Forest Servs., 403 F.3d 683, 687 (9th Cir. 2005) ("withdrawals of 12 individual claims against a given defendant are governed by Fed.R.Civ.P. 15, which 13 addresses amendments to pleadings"). However, the parties disagree whether putative 14 members of the FLSA collective action are proper parties to the action. The Court will 15 therefore order further briefing on this issue.

16 The parties also indicated their willingness to participate in a further settlement 17 conference before Magistrate Judge Cousins following the Court's resolution of the motion 18 to dismiss which was recently filed in the related action Crowley, et al. v. Factor 5, Inc., et 19 al., C 11-05528 SBA, and the opt-in issue referenced above. The Court will therefore refer 20 the action to Magistrate Judge Cousins for a further settlement conference, which shall take 21 place after both of the aforementioned issues are resolved. In the event the action does not 22 settle, the Court will order further briefing on the viability of Plaintiffs' remaining claims, 23 address the pending order to show cause regarding the imposition of sanctions, set a new 24

- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

briefing schedule for pretrial filings, set a new date for the pretrial conference and
reschedule the matter for trial.¹ Accordingly,

3

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

The parties shall submit briefing on the issue of whether any individual has
 timely opted-in to the FLSA collective action conditionally certified by the Court on June
 26, 2012. Dkt. 91. Plaintiffs shall file a brief, not to exceed five (5) pages, by no later than
 February 26, 2014. The individual Defendants shall file a response, not to exceed five (5)
 pages, by no later than March 3, 2014. Upon the completion of briefing, the Court will take
 this matter under submission and issue a written order.

2. This action is referred to Magistrate Judge Cousins for a mandatory
 settlement conference to take place after the Court (1) determines whether any individual
 has timely opted-in to the conditionally certified FLSA collective action, and (2) resolves
 the individual Defendants' motion to quash service and dismiss the complaint in the related
 action Crowley, et al. v. Factor 5, Inc., et al., C 11-05528 SBA.

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

3. The order to show cause regarding the dismissal of BluHarvest is discharged.
4. The Court will hold a telephonic Case Management Conference, if necessary,
following the mandatory settlement conference before Magistrate Judge Cousins, to
reschedule the various matters discussed above. The parties shall notify the Court of the
outcome of the settlement conference immediately following the conference.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: 2/21/2014

ARMISTRONG United States District Judge

¹ Based on Plaintiffs' response to the order to show cause regarding BluHarvest, the Court declines to dismiss BluHarvest from this action pursuant to Rule 4(m). Plaintiffs have shown good cause why BluHarvest should not be dismissed from this action for failure to properly effectuate service. Plaintiffs have shown that BluHarvest changed its name to WhiteHarvest in February 2009, and that BluHarvest and WhiteHarvest are the same entity. As directed by the Court, Plaintiffs have moved for entry of default against WhiteHarvest, fka BluHarvest, for failure to obtain substitute counsel following the withdrawal of its counsel of record.