

1
2
3
4
5

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

6 **MARIO TRUJILLO,**

7 Plaintiff,
8 vs.

9 **FRANCISCO JACQUEZ, et al.,**

10 Defendants.

Case No. 10-cv-5183 YGR

**ORDER STRIKING MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT FILED BY DEFENDANTS;
DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE
AND MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME AS MOOT**

11
12 Defendants Hickman, Moua, Kay, White, Jacquez, Avila, Polk, Long, and Reynoso filed their
13 second motion for summary judgment on August 7, 2014. (Dkt. No. 173.) The filing does not
14 comply with this Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases at paragraph 9(a). The Standing Order does
15 not provide an exception to the Court's pre-filing conference requirement for civil rights actions
16 where both plaintiff and defendants are represented. The motion for summary judgment is
17 **STRICKEN** on those grounds.


18 The Court further notes that the motion for summary judgment did not comply with this
19 Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases at paragraph 9(c), requiring a separate statement of facts.
20 Counsel must correct this deficiency in any future filing. Finally, in any future letter requesting a
21 pre-filing conference, Defendants are directed to address why leave of Court to file an additional
22 summary judgment motion should be permitted. *See* Standing Order in Civil Cases, paragraph 9(b).

23 Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff's motions to strike the summary judgment motion and to
24 shorten time for hearing on that motion are **DENIED** as moot.

25 This Order terminates Dkt. Nos. 173, 178, and 179.

26 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

27 Date: August 13, 2014

28

YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE