

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TONY BLACKMAN,

1:10-cv-02289-GBC (PC)

Plaintiff,

ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

vs.

SALINAS VALLEY STATE PRISON, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity jurisdiction, be brought only in “(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought.” 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

In this case, none of the defendants reside in this district. The claim arose in Monterey

1 County, which is in the Northern District of California. Therefore, plaintiff's claim should have
2 been filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. In the interest
3 of justice, a federal court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district.
4 See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

5 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States
6 District Court for the Northern District of California.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 Dated: December 14, 2010


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28