

1
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4 OAKLAND DIVISION
5

6 IN RE DAVID PILKINGTON

Case No: CV 10-80024-MISC
CV 10-80025-MISC
CV 10-80026-MISC
CV 10-80027-MISC

8
9 **ORDER CLOSING ACTIONS**

10 David Pilkington of Antioch, California, filed the four above-captioned actions on
11 February 4, 2010. Each action appears to be related to an “attempted foreclosure” on one of
12 four properties allegedly owned by Mr. Pilkington. The initial “complaint” in each case
13 consists of an unsigned, half-inch thick filing that appears to be a dissertation on the faults of
14 the mortgage lending industry, as opposed to a pleading under the Federal Rules of Civil
15 Procedure. Included in this compendium are copies of filings and/or orders issued in other
16 mortgage cases pending in other state and federal courts. No specific claims are alleged
17 against any party, no defendants are identified and no relief is sought in the instant filings.

18 These submissions, which are identical except as the specification of the address of Mr.
19 Pilkington’s property, do not present a justiciable controversy, which is required for federal
20 court jurisdiction. U.S.C.A. Const. art. 3. Rather, it is clear from the nature and content of
21 Mr. Pilkington’s filings that the purpose of his filings is to notify the Court of the allegedly
22 fraudulent practices being employed by financial institutions and that reforms are necessary.
23 However, absent factual allegations of harm specific to Mr. Pilkington for which specific
24 individuals and/or entities are purportedly responsible, this Court is not the appropriate forum
25 to address these general concerns. To the extent that Mr. Pilkington believes that criminal
26 activity has taken place, he should notify the appropriate law enforcement authority. If he
27 believes that legal reforms are necessary, the legislature is the more appropriate venue to
28 address his concerns. The role of a federal court is preside over disputes between parties,

1 involving specific facts, claims, issues and litigants, in those cases where the Court has
2 jurisdiction. That situation is not before this Court. Accordingly,

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the Clerk shall CLOSE Case Nos. CV 10-80024-
4 MISC, CV 10-80025-MISC, CV 10-80026-MISC and CV 10-80027-MISC and terminate any
5 pending matters in those actions. This Order does not prevent Mr. Pilkington from filing a
6 civil complaint, in either state court or federal court, against specifically-identified defendants
7 seeking redress for harm inflicted directly upon him.

8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9

10 Dated: February 8, 2010


SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
United States District Judge

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: 1006 AND 1008 G STREET ANTIOCH,
CA,

Plaintiff,

v.

IN RE: 1006 AND 1008 G STREET ANTIOCH,
CA et al,

Defendant.

_____ /

Case Number: CV10-80024 SBA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.

That on February 10, 2010, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's office.

David Pilkington
101 Beede Way
Antioch, CA 94509

Dated: February 10, 2010

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk