IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEFF WEAVER,

No. C 11-00150 SBA (PR)

Plaintiff,

ORDER OF DISMISSAL

V

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, et al.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff filed the instant pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

On August 12, 2011, Northern District Judge Jeremy Fogel issued an Order permitting Plaintiff's former counsel, Richard Philip Sax, to withdraw appearance and granting a continuance to enable Plaintiff to retain new counsel.

On September 28, 2011, this action was reassigned to the undersigned judge. The record shows that Attorney Sax has "lost contact with Plaintiff." (Sax Decl. ¶ 4.) To date, Plaintiff has never communicated directly with the Court because all his filings were made by Attorney Sax. Accordingly, in an Order dated November 7, 2011, the Court determined that it was in the interests of justice and judicial efficiency for the Court to establish Plaintiff's current address and whether he intended to continue to prosecute this action. The Court informed Plaintiff that if he failed to do so within thirty days, this action would be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. The Court directed the Clerk of the Court to (1) send a copy of its November 7, 2011 Order to Attorney Sax; and (2) contact Attorney Sax to obtain Plaintiff's last-known address. Clerk's Office staff indicates that Plaintiff's last-known address is 1911 Sierra Avenue, Napa, CA 94558. The Clerk also served Plaintiff with the November 7, 2011 Order at the aforementioned address. The Court notes that the Order mailed to Plaintiff was never returned as undeliverable.

More than thirty days have passed, and Plaintiff has filed no response to the Court's November 7, 2011 Order. A district court may sua sponte dismiss an action for failure to prosecute

or to comply with a court order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b). See Link v.
Wabash R.R., 370 U.S. 626, 633 (1962); McKeever v. Block, 932 F.2d 795, 797 (9th Cir. 1991).
The court should consider five factors before dismissing an action under Rule 41(b): (1) the public
interest in the expeditious resolution of the litigation: (2) the court's need to manage its docket;
(3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the availability of less drastic sanctions; and (5) the
public policy favoring the disposition of actions on their merits. See Malone v. United States Postal
Serv., 833 F.2d 128, 130 (9th Cir. 1987). The first three factors, above, weigh in favor of dismissal
in light of the fact that Plaintiff has lost contact with his attorney and has failed to respond to the
Court's November 7, 2011 Order. The fourth factor also weighs in favor of dismissal because less
drastic sanctions would have little impact in light of Plaintiff's apparent lack of interest in this case.
Although the fifth factor appears to weigh against dismissal, dismissal is appropriate in light of the
other four factors. See Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d 639, 643 (9th Cir. 2002) (finding district
court did not abuse its discretion in dismissing petition with prejudice where three of the five factors
weighed in favor of dismissal).
In light of the foregoing, this action is hereby DISMISSED for failure to prosecute, pursuant

to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b).

The Clerk shall close the file and terminate any pending motions. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: <u>12/15/11</u>

this Court has done.

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge

¹ The court should also afford the litigant prior notice of its intention to dismiss, <u>id.</u> at 133, as

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3		
4	JEFF WEAVER, Case Number: CV11-00150 SBA	
5	Plaintiff, CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
6	V.	
7	CA DEPT OF CORRECTIONS et al,	
8	Defendant/	
9		
10	I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California.	
11	That on December 20, 2011, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing s	said
12	copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing senvelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle	said
13	located in the Clerk's office.	
14		
15	Jeff Weaver AB-7048	
16	1911 Sierra Avenue Napa, CA 94558	
17	D . 1 D . 1 . 20 2011	
18	Dated: December 20, 2011 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk	
19	By: LISA R CLARK, Deputy Clerk	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		