

1 Gregory G. Spaulding, Esq. (SBN 106606)  
 2 Terry S. Sterling, Esq. (SBN 106379)  
 3 SPAULDING McCULLOUGH & TANSIL LLP  
 4 90 South E Street, Suite 200  
 5 P.O. Box 1867  
 Santa Rosa, CA 95402  
 Telephone: (707) 524-1900  
 Facsimile: (707) 524-1906  
[spaulding@smlaw.com](mailto:spaulding@smlaw.com); [sterling@smlaw.com](mailto:sterling@smlaw.com)

6 Attorneys for Defendant  
 7 COUNTY OF NAPA

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 GAYLE BROCK,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

13 COUNTY OF NAPA and DOES 1 through 50,  
 14 inclusive,

15 Defendants.

Case No.: CV 11-0257 SBA

STIPULATION AND ORDER  
 EXTENDING TIME TO FILE REPLY  
 PAPERS

Date: June 5, 2012

Time: 1:00 pm

Ctrm: 1, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor

Honorable Sandra B. Armstrong

PreTrial Conference: July 10, 2012

Trial Date: July 16, 2012

18 Plaintiff GAYLE BROCK and defendant COUNTY OF NAPA ("County") hereby enter into  
 19 this Stipulation Extending Time To File Reply Papers with reference to the following facts:

20 On April 17, 2012, the County filed its Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the Alternative,  
 21 Partial Summary Judgment ("Motion for Summary Judgment"). The Motion for Summary Judgment  
 22 was originally set for hearing on May 22, 2012, but on April 18, 2012, the Court continued the  
 23 hearing date on the Motion for Summary Judgment to June 5, 2012. The Court did not change the  
 24 dates for opposition or reply papers. On May 1, 2012, plaintiff filed her Opposition to the Motion for  
 25 Summary Judgment based on the briefing schedule mandated by the original May 22, 2012 hearing  
 26 date.

27 The deadline for filing reply papers based on the original briefing schedule is May 8, 2012. In  
 28 order to properly address the numerous legal and factual issues raised by the two motions, the County

1 requires additional time to file its reply papers. In light of the continuance of the hearing date for the  
2 Motion for Summary Judgment, the requested extension of the deadline for filing reply papers will  
3 not unduly limit the time available for the Court to prepare for the hearing on the Motion.

4 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between plaintiff GAYLE BROCK and defendant  
5 COUNTY OF NAPA, through their attorneys of record, that the deadline for reply papers to be filed  
6 in connection with the Motion for Summary Judgment shall be continued to May 11, 2012.

7 DATED: May 4, 2012

SPAULDING McCULLOUGH & TANSIL LLP  
Attorneys for Defendant  
COUNTY OF NAPA

9

10

By:           /s/ Terry S. Sterling            
Terry S. Sterling

11

12 DATED: May 4, 2012

LAW OFFICE OF JESSE S. TURNER  
Attorneys for Plaintiff GAYLE BROCK

13

14

By:           /s/ Jesse S. Turner            
Jesse S. Turner, Esq.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 5/7/12 \_\_\_\_\_

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE