1 AKERMAN SENTERFITT LLP JUSTIN D. BALSER (CA SBN 213478) 2 Email: justin.balser@akerman.com 725 South Figueroa Street, 38th Floor 3 Los Angeles, California 90017-5433 Telephone: (213) 688-9500 4 Facsimile: (213) 627-6342 5 AKERMAN SENTERFITT LLP JUSTIN D. BALSER (CA SBN 213478) 6 Email: justin.balser@akerman.com 511 Sixteenth Street, Suite 420 7 Denver, Colorado 80202 Telephone: (303) 260-7712 8 Facsimile: (303) 260-7714 9 Attorneys for Defendants AURORA LOAN SERVICES LLC and 10 MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. 11 JOSE ANTONIO JARA,

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – OAKLAND

Plaintiff,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

AURORA LOAN SERVICES, LLC, MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, a Delaware corporation, CALIFORNIA WESTERN RECONVEYANCE CORPORATION, a corporation, DOES 1 to 15, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. C 11-00419 LB Assigned to the Honorable Laurel Beeler

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT REMOVED BY DEFENDANTS AURORA LOAN SERVICES LLC AND MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS INC.

FAC Filed:

November 23, 2010

Complaint Filed: November 10, 2010

Trial Date:

None

TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD:

Plaintiff Jose Antonio Jara (plaintiff) and defendants Aurora Loan Services LLC (Aurora) and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. (MERS) (collectively defendants) hereby stipulate as follows:

28

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT REMOVED BY DEFENDANTS AURORA LOAN SERVICES LLC AND MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS INC.

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

WHEREAS, defendants removed this case from the Superior Court of California in and for the County of San Mateo on January 27, 2011; WHEREAS, defendants' answer or responsive pleading to the First Amended Complaint (**FAC**) is due February 4, 2011; WHEREAS, plaintiff intends to file a motion for remand; WHEREAS, defendants believe this case was properly removed, and reserve the right to file an opposition to plaintiff's motion for remand; WHEREAS, the parties agree that efficiency will be best served if responses to the FAC are filed after the Court rules on plaintiff's motion for remand; WHEREAS, the parties agree defendants shall file an answer or other responsive pleading to the FAC no later than 20 days after this Court enters an order adjudicating the motion for remand; WHEREAS, should plaintiff not file a motion to remand within the time frame proscribed under Federal Rules, defendants shall have 20 days from the last day upon which a motion to remand could be filed to answer or otherwise respond to the FAC; **NOW THEREFORE**, the parties hereby stipulate and agree to all matters stated herein. IT IS SO STIPULATED. Dated: February 4, 2011 Respectfully submitted, AKERMAN SENTERFITT LLP By: /s/ Justin D. Balser Justin D. Balser Attorneys for Defendants AURORA LOAN SERVICES LLC and MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.

MOSS & MURPHY

By: <u>/s/ Glen L. Moss</u> Glen L. Moss

Attorney for Plaintiff
JOSE ANTONIO JARA

*e-signature approved on February 4, 2011

{DN058976;3}

Dated: February 4, 2011

CASE No. C 11-00419 LB

AKERMAN SENTERFITT LLP

TEL.: (213) 688-9500

ORDER

For good cause appearing, the parties' stipulation is approved. Defendants Aurora Loan Services and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems Inc. shall file a response to the plaintiffs' first amended complaint no later than twenty (20) days after the Court issues an order on plaintiff's motion to remand, should such a motion be filed. Should plaintiff not file a motion to remand, defendants' responsive pleading shall be filed no later than twenty (20) days from the expiration of time for

Dated: February 7, 2011

plaintiff to have file a motion to remand.

