

1  
2 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
3 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
4

5 REYNOLDS, et al.,

6 Plaintiffs,

No. C 11-0462 PJH

7 v.

**ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S  
OBJECTIONS TO PROPOSED  
SETTLEMENT**

8 HOLOGIC, INC., et al.,

9 Defendants.  
10 \_\_\_\_\_/

11 The court is in possession of a number of emails, sent from plaintiff Shella Leahy  
12 ("Leahy") to this court's ADR office, which contain objections to the proposed FLSA  
13 settlement in the above-captioned case. The court notes that Leahy's emails attach  
14 attorney-client privileged communications between Leahy and plaintiffs' counsel. The court  
15 further notes that, as a represented party, Leahy should not engage in ex parte  
16 communications directly with the court. As such, the court cannot properly consider  
17 Leahy's objections in their current form.

18 However, the court finds that Leahy has raised a number of significant issues  
19 regarding the proposed settlement, most notably a potential misrepresentation regarding  
20 Leahy's salary, which adversely affects her recovery under the terms of the proposed  
21 settlement. The court will take Leahy's objections under consideration if they are properly  
22 filed, in letter form, without inclusion of any attorney-client privileged communications. The  
23 court will consider any objections filed on or before **September 7, 2012**. Plaintiffs' counsel  
24 is ordered to serve a copy of this order on Ms. Leahy personally.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 Dated: August 28, 2012

27   
28 \_\_\_\_\_  
PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON  
United States District Judge