1			
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
4	OAKLAND DIVISION		
5			
6	DAWN TILL and MARY JOSEPHS, individually, and on behalf of all others	Case No: C 11-0504 SBA	
7	similarly situated,	ORDER STRIKING NON- COMPLIANT BRIEFS	
8	Plaintiff,		
9	VS.		
10	SAKS INCORPORATED, a Tennessee corporation; SAKS FIFTH AVENUE, INC., a		
11			
12	SAKS FIFTH AVENUE OFF FIFTH,		
13	Defendants.		
14			
15	The Court's Standing Orders in effect since July 1, 2011, impose page limits on		
16	motions, as follows:		
17	summary judgment) and any opposition thereto, shall not exceed fifteen (15) pages in length, exclusive of the table of contents, table of authorities, exhibits and declarations, if		
18			
19			
20	set forth in Civil Local Rule 7.3.		
21	Id. at 4. The Standing Orders further state that any brief filed "in an improper manner or		
22	form shall not be received or considered by the Court." Id.		
23	Defendants have filed a twenty-five page Motion to Deny Class Certification and		
24	Reject Conditional Certification. Dkt. 26. Plaintiffs filed a twenty-three page opposition to		
25	the motion. Dkt. 33. Separately, Plaintiffs filed a twenty-five page Motion for Class		
26	Certification, Dkt. 42, to which Defendants filed a twenty-five page opposition, Dkt. 58,		
27	and Plaintiffs filed a fifteen-page reply, Dkt. 60	). Prior leave of Court was neither sought	
28	nor obtained by the parties to file these oversized briefs. The Court will not consider the		

1	parties' non-compliant briefs. See Swanson v. U.S. Forest Serv., 87 F.3d 339, 345 (9th Cir.	
2	1996) (courts have discretion to strike oversized briefs). Nonetheless, the Court will afford	
3	the parties an opportunity to rectify their violations of the Court's Standing Orders.	
4	Accordingly,	
5	IT IS HERBY ORDERED THAT:	
6	1. Defendants' Motion to Deny Class Certification and Reject Conditional	
7	Certification and Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification and related briefs, Dkt. 26, 33,	
8	42, 58 and 60, are STRICKEN from the record.	
9	2. The parties' may resubmit their respective motions, consistent with the	
10	Court's Standing Orders, in accordance with the following schedule:	
11	a. Motions due by September 25, 2012.	
12	b. Oppositions due by October 2, 2012.	
13	c. Replies due by October 9, 2012.	
14	The parties need not refile their supporting declarations. However, parties' respective	
15	memoranda shall include references to the docket number corresponding to the previously-	
16	filed declarations.	
17	3. Prior to refiling their motions, the parties shall meet and confer in person or	
18	by telephone in an effort to narrow the issues presented in their motions. The parties' meet	
19	and confer conference shall include the Plaintiffs from <u>Tate-Small v. Saks Inc.</u> , No. C 12-	
20	3903 SBA, to determine whether the renewed motions should include that action, as well.	
21	4. The motions will be taken under submission as of the date the replies are due.	
22	No hearing will be held in connection with the motion unless otherwise ordered by the	
23	Court.	
24	5. This Order terminates Docket 26, 30 and 42.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: September 11, 2012	
27	United States District Judge	
28		
	-2-	