

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5
6 CARLITO MENDOZA,

7 Plaintiff,

8 vs.

9 KINDRED HEALTHCARE OPERATING,
10 INC., et al.,

11 Defendants.

Case No.: C-11-00666-YGR

**ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S
OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR
SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND SUPPORTING
PAPERS**

12
13
14 Plaintiff filed his Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment or, in the
15 Alternative, Summary Adjudication and supporting papers on April 24, 2012. (Dkt. Nos. 42–47.)
16 Plaintiff's Opposition papers fail to comply with this Court's Standing Order in Civil Cases
17 ("Standing Order") and the Civil Local Rules in a number of respects.

18 With respect to Dkt. No. 44 (Plaintiff's Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts in Support of
19 Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment or in the Alternative, Summary
20 Adjudication ("Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts")), the Court's Standing Order permits an
21 opposing separate statement "no more than five (5) additional pages beyond the number of pages in
22 the opening statement." Plaintiff's Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts exceeds five pages.
23 Plaintiff may file an amended Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts in support of his Opposition by
24 Monday, May 7, 2012 at 5:00 p.m. that reduces the document length down to five pages or less. The
25 amended document may not contain any *new* facts and shall consist of only facts previously stated. If
26 Plaintiff does not file an amended Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts by May 7 at 5:00 p.m., the
27 Court will **STRIKE** pages 7–9 of the previously filed Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts at Dkt.
28 No. 44. Any claimed undisputed facts contained within those pages will not be considered by the


1 Court. Additionally, Plaintiff's counsel has failed to provide the attestation required in the Standing
2 Order at Section 9(c). Counsel is **ORDERED** to file an attestation with the amended Separate
3 Statement of Undisputed Facts in compliance with the Standing Order by Monday, May 7, 2012 at
4 5:00 p.m. If no such amended document is filed, counsel shall file an attestation as to the previous
5 document.

6 With respect to Dkt. No. 45 (Plaintiff's Response to Defendants' Separate Statement of
7 Undisputed Facts in Support of Plaintiff's Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment
8 or in the Alternative, Summary Adjudication), Plaintiff's counsel has again failed to provide the
9 required attestation referenced above. Counsel is **ORDERED** to file an attestation with regard to this
10 document by Monday, May 7, 2012 at 5:00 p.m.

11 With respect to Dkt. No. 46 (Plaintiff's Objections to Evidence in Support of Plaintiff's
12 Opposition to Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment or in the Alternative, Summary
13 Adjudication), the Court hereby **STRIKES** this document for failure to comply with Civil Local Rule
14 7-3. The Local Rule, which applies to oppositions and replies to a motion, requires that "[a]ny
15 evidentiary and procedural objections to the motion must be contained within the brief or
16 memorandum." As such, the Court will not respond to the objections raised therein.

17 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

18 Dated: May 4, 2012

19 
20 YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
22
23
24
25
26
27
28