

MARY DRYOVAGE  
Law Offices of Mary Dryovage

351 California Street, Suite 700  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 593-0095  
Fax: (415) 399-8792  
Email: [mdryovage@igc.org](mailto:mdryovage@igc.org)

WENDY MUSELL  
Stewart & Musell

351 California Street, Suite 700  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
Telephone: (415) 593-0083  
Fax: (415) 520-0920  
Email: [wmusell@stewartandmusell.com](mailto:wmusell@stewartandmusell.com)

Attorneys for Plaintiff  
JOSEPH J. FANUCCHI, M.D.

MELINDA HAAG (CSBN 132612)  
United States Attorney  
JOANN M. SWANSON (CSBN 88143)  
Chief, Civil Division  
NEILL T. TSENG (CSBN 220348)  
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
Telephone: (415) 436-7155  
FAX: (415) 436-6927  
[neill.tseng@usdoj.gov](mailto:neill.tseng@usdoj.gov)

Attorneys for Defendant  
PATRICK R. DONAHOE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
OAKLAND DIVISION

JOSEPH J. FANUCCHI, M.D.,	)	No. C 11-0737 SBA
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	<b>STIPULATION TO EXTEND</b>
	)	<b>MEDIATION DEADLINE; ORDER</b>
v.	)	
	)	
PATRICK R. DONAHOE, U.S. Postmaster	)	
General,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	

STIPULATION TO EXTEND MEDIATION DEADLINE; [PROPOSED] ORDER  
C 11-0737 SBA

1 Subject to the approval of the Court, and after consultation with the Court's ADR Unit,  
2 the parties, by and through their attorneys of record, hereby stipulate to extend the mediation  
3 deadline to 90 days after defendant files an answer, if any. The current deadline is 120 days  
4 from the date of the Stipulation and Order Selecting ADR Process, which was dated September  
5 29, 2011, and filed October 3, 2011.

6 The parties believe good cause exists for this request as follows. Defendant's motion to  
7 dismiss (Doc. #9) has been fully briefed and is awaiting decision. The parties understand from  
8 the ADR Unit that it may be easier to assign a mediator once a decision has been issued and any  
9 answer has been filed. Additionally, defendant believes mediation would be more productive  
10 after its motion to dismiss has been ruled on and any answer has been filed. Although plaintiff is  
11 willing to mediate the case at any time, plaintiff does not object to extending the mediation  
12 deadline as requested herein.

13 This is the first request to extend the mediation deadline. This Court previously granted  
14 defendant's administrative motion to continue the date of the initial CMC (see Doc. #16) and  
15 plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to File Response/Reply (see Doc. #25). There is no  
16 trial date and there are currently no other case management dates aside from the mediation  
17 deadline.

18  
19  
20 DATED: December 13, 2011

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
MARY DRYOVAGE  
Attorney for Plaintiff

21  
22  
23 DATED: December 13, 2011

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
WENDY MUSELL  
Attorney for Plaintiff

24  
25  
26 MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

27 DATED: December 13, 2011

By: \_\_\_\_\_/s/  
NEILL T. TSENG

Assistant United States Attorney  
Attorneys for Defendant

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED:  
2  
3

4 DATED:12/14/11  
5

  
HONORABLE SAUNDRA B. ARMSTRONG  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28