## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Emblaze Ltd.		
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. 4: 11-CV-01079- SBA
Apple Inc.	V.	STIPULATION AND ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
ADR and har		ounsel report that they have met and conferred regarding plation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	agree to participate in the foll	lowing ADR process:
Cou	rt Processes:  Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	(ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
appreciably ADR phone	more likely to meet their ne	y settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eads than any other form of ADR, must participate in an e this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for e 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Priva	rate Process: Private ADR (please identified)	ntify process and provider)
The parties :		e (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order ADR process unless otherwise ordered.)
	-	September 12, 2011 ADR Phone Conference be taken
Dated: <u>8/02</u>	<u>2/2011</u>	Marty Pavane and Martin Fineman Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 8/02/2011		James DeCarlo and Kenneth Steinthal Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

## **ORDER**

		Non-binding Arbitration Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) Mediation	er is hereby referred to:
	□ Deadlin	Private ADR  ne for ADR session  90 days from the date of this order.  Other	
	IT IS S	O ORDERED.	
Dated:_	8/4/1	1	Judge Saundra Brown Armstrong