

ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
LOS ANGELES

1 ROBINS, KAPLAN, MILLER & CIRESI L.L.P.  
Michael A. Geibelson, Bar No. 179970  
2 MAGEibelson@rkmc.com  
Stephanie C. Santoro, Bar No. 260994  
3 SCSantoro@rkmc.com  
2049 Century Park East, Suite 3400  
4 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3208  
Telephone: 310-552-0130  
5 Facsimile: 310-229-5800

6 Attorneys for Defendants  
Best Buy Co., Inc.  
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 Jason Zelis, an individual, on behalf  
of himself and all other similarly  
12 situated,  
13 Plaintiff,  
14 v.  
15 Best Buy Co., Inc. a Minnesota  
corporation; and DOES 1 through  
16 50, inclusive,  
17 Defendants.

Case No. CV11 1096 DMR

**[PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND  
TIME TO RESPOND TO  
COMPLAINT PURSUANT TO  
LOCAL RULE 6-1**

Complaint Filed: March 9, 2011  
Old Response Date: May 11, 2011

18  
19 **ORDER**

20 Having considered the Second Stipulation to Extend Time to Respond to  
21 Complaint entered into by and between Plaintiff Jason Zelis (“Zelis”) and  
22 Defendant Best Buy Co., Inc. (“Best Buy”), and good cause appearing therefore,

23 **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

24 Plaintiff will amend the Complaint to name Best Buy Stores, L.P., and not  
25 Best Buy Co., Inc. within fifteen (15) days of the date of the outcome of either the  
26 petition to the U.S. Judicial Multidistrict Litigation Panel or the motion to transfer  
27 the action to the Central District of California, whichever occurs first;

28 Plaintiff will dismiss the negligence, invasion of privacy, and unlawful

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

intrusion causes of action within fifteen (15) days of the date of the outcome of either the petition to the U.S. Judicial Multidistrict Litigation Panel or the motion to transfer the action to the Central District of California, whichever occurs first;

Best Buy will have fourteen (14) days from the date Plaintiff files his amended complaint to respond to the amended Complaint, and given Plaintiff's dismissal of the negligence, invasion of privacy, and unlawful intrusion claims, Best Buy need only respond to the sole remaining cause of action for violation of California Civil Code § 1747.08.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May 13, 2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
The Honorable Donna M. Ryu  
Magistrate Judge