

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5

6 SIDNEY B STEWART,

7 Plaintiff,

No. C-11-01181-DMR

8 v.

9 MONOGRAM BIOSCIENCES,

10 Defendant.

**ORDER ON FILLING *EX PARTE*
COMMUNICATIONS AND BANNING
PLAINTIFF FROM USING THE
JUDGE'S PROPOSED ORDER E-MAIL
MAILBOX**

11
12 On June 9, 2011, Plaintiff Sidney Stewart ("Plaintiff") submitted another *ex parte*
13 communication to the court via e-mail, [Docket No. 23], contrary to this court's order of June 7,
14 2011, [Docket No. 21], and the mandate of the Local Rules of Civil Procedure. N.D. Cal. Civ. R.
15 11-4(c) (stating that "parties to any action **must refrain from making telephone calls or writing**
16 **letters . . . to the assigned Judge** or the Judge's law clerks or otherwise communicating with a
17 **Judge** or the Judge's staff regarding a pending matter, **without prior notice to opposing counsel**")
18 (emphasis added). To remedy this occurrence, the court hereby ORDERS that the letter in question
19 be filed on ECF.

20 In addition, the court ORDERS that Plaintiff **SHALL NOT communicate directly with the**
21 **Judge**; ORDERS that Plaintiff be BANNED from submitting E-mails via the Judge's Proposed
22 Order E-mail Mailbox; and ORDERS Plaintiff to electronically file all future documents on the ECF
23 system.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: June 9, 2011
26
27
28

