

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CABELA'S INC., No. C 11-1398 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

KELORA SYSTEMS, LLC,

Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_  
KELORA SYSTEMS, LLC, No. C 11-1548 CW

Plaintiff,

v.

TARGET CORPORATION; OFFICEMAX  
INCORPORATED; ROCKLER COMPANIES,  
INC.; 1-800-FLOWERS.COM, INC.;  
AMAZON.COM, INC.; DELL, INC.;  
OFFICE DEPOT, INC.; NEWEGG INC.;  
COSTCO WHOLESALE CORPORATION;  
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY;  
CIRCUITCITY.COM INC; AUDIBLE,  
INC.; and ZAPPOS.COM, INC.,

Defendants.

OFFICEMAX INCORPORATED,

Third-Party Plaintiff,

v.

ADOBE SYSTEMS INCORPORATED,

Third-Party Defendant.

\_\_\_\_\_

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1 NEBRASKA FURNITURE MART, INC.,

No. C 11-2284 CW

2 Plaintiff,

ORDER REGARDING  
MOTION OF KELORA

3 v.

SYSTEMS, LLC TO  
FILE UNDER SEAL

4 KELORA SYSTEMS, LLC,

THE DECLARATION OF  
SHAWN G. HANSEN

5 Defendant.

6 \_\_\_\_\_/

7 AND ALL RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

8 \_\_\_\_\_/

9

10 Pursuant to Local Rule 79-5(d), Kelora Systems, LLC moves for  
11 an order sealing the Declaration of Shawn G. Hansen, submitted in  
12 support of Kelora Systems, LLC's Opposition to Defendants' Claim  
13 Construction Brief and Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity  
14 and Non-Infringement, and Exhibits A through E attached to the  
15 declaration. In support of its motion, Movant offers a  
16 declaration that these exhibits "contain materials that have been  
17 designated by other parties as 'Highly Confidential -- Attorneys'  
18 Eyes Only' under the Protective Order in these cases." Hansen  
19 Decl. ¶ 3.

20 Because the public interest favors filing all court documents  
21 in the public record, any party seeking to file a document under  
22 seal must demonstrate good cause to do so. Pintos v. Pac.  
23 Creditors Ass'n, 565 F.3d 1106, 1115 (9th Cir. 2009). This cannot  
24 be established simply by showing that the document is subject to a  
25 protective order or by stating in general terms that the material  
26 is considered to be confidential, but rather must be supported by  
27 a sworn declaration demonstrating with particularity the need to  
28 file each document under seal. See Civil L.R. 79-5(a).

1 Further, if a party wishes to file a document that has been  
2 designated confidential by another party, the submitting party  
3 must file and serve an Administrative Motion for a sealing order.  
4 Civil L.R. 79-5(d). The submitting party must provide adequate  
5 notice to the designating party that the submitting party is  
6 seeking to file material that the designating party believes is  
7 confidential, because within seven days after the administrative  
8 motion is filed, the designating party must file a declaration  
9 establishing that the information is sealable. Id. If the  
10 designating party does not file its responsive declaration, the  
11 document or proposed filing will be made part of the public  
12 record. Id.

13 Here, Movant relies on conclusory statements and does not  
14 make clear which "other parties" have designated this material as  
15 "Highly Confidential -- Attorneys' Eyes Only." Based upon a  
16 review of the material which Movant seeks to seal, it appears that  
17 multiple parties to these cases, as well as Endeca, a third-party  
18 who is not a party to these cases, may consider this material to  
19 be confidential. It is not clear that Endeca has been served with  
20 or otherwise notified of the motion to seal and its obligation to  
21 support its designation of confidentiality.

22 Movant is ordered to file an amended motion to file under  
23 seal to include a specific statement as to which parties or third-  
24 parties have designated this material to be confidential. If a  
25 third-party has designated this material to be confidential,  
26 Movant shall also file proof with the Court that it has served  
27 that third-party with a copy of the amended motion and of this  
28 order. Within seven days after the amended motion is filed and


1 served, any parties who consider the information to be  
2 confidential shall file a declaration in support of the motion to  
3 seal. The declarations must state with particularity good cause  
4 to file each document under seal in light of Local Rule 79-5 and  
5 applicable law.

6 If the parties designating the material as confidential fail  
7 to file their responsive declarations as required by Local Rule  
8 79-5(d), the document or proposed filing will be made part of the  
9 public record, to the extent that the motion relied upon their  
10 designation. Filings made hereunder may not exceed five pages,  
11 excluding declarations and exhibits.

12 In the future, all parties must follow Local Rule 79-5 and  
13 these procedures.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15  
16 Dated: 10/17/2011

17   
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
19 CLAUDIA WILKEN  
20 United States District Judge  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28