24

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 Northern District of California 8 9 10 CITY OF OAKLAND, No. C 11-1446 YGR (MEJ) 11 Plaintiff, **ORDER FOR IN CAMERA REVIEW** v. 12 Re: Docket Nos. 62, 68, 69, and 76 SSA TERMINALS, LLC., et al., 13 Defendants. 14 15 On February 3, 2012, the parties filed a joint discovery dispute letter regarding the scope of a proposed protective order. Dkt. No. 62. After reviewing the joint letter, the Court ordered the parties 17 to each submit supplemental letters. Dkt. No. 65. The Court has considered the parties' positions and hereby orders as follows: 18 19 1. Any documents that Defendant wants to prohibit Plaintiff's in-house counsel (David 20 Alexander and Donnell Choy) from having access to must be submitted to the Court by **Friday**, 21 March 30, 2012 for in camera review. 22 2. From the parties' last letter, it appears Defendant believes that some of the documents at

- 2. From the parties' last letter, it appears Defendant believes that some of the documents at issue will be used during trial. *See* Dkt. No. 76. The Court notes that this Circuit has a strict standard for sealing documents from the public. *See Foltz v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, 331 F.3d 1122 (9th Cir. 2003); *Contratto v. Ethicon, Inc.*, 227 F.R.D. 304 (N.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, any request from Defendant to withhold documents from Plaintiff's in-house counsel must be narrowly tailored. *See* Civ. Loc. R. 79-5.
 - 3. In connection with its submission of the documents, Defendant must provide a declaration

that specifically explains why each document or each redaction in a document should not be available to Plaintiff's in-house counsel. This declaration must also explain why the documents or redactions at issue can be analyzed by Plaintiff's outside counsel (Fitzgerald Abbott & Beardsley LLP) in a manner that provides Plaintiff with adequate representation without the involvement of in-house counsel. The Court will issue another order after it conducts an in camera review of the documents and Defendant's declaration. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 28, 2012 Maria-Elena Jame Chief United States Magistrate Judge