For the Northern District of California

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	CRAIG YATES, No. C-11-01573 DMR
9	Plaintiff(s), ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
10	v.
11	BACCO,
12	Defendant(s).
13	
14	On May 23, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion for leave to amend the complaint. [Docket No.
15	23.] Defendants had until June 6, 2012 to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the
16	motion. N.D. Cal. Civ. L.R. 7-3. To date, the court has received no response from Defendants.
17	Therefore, the court ORDERS Defendants by June 11, 2012 (1) to file a statement explaining why
18	they have failed to file an opposition, along with any proposed opposition, or (2) to file a statement
19	of non-opposition. This order does not grant Defendants permission to file an opposition out of
20	time. Failure to respond to this order in a timely manner may result in the court's granting
21	Plaintiff's motion.
22	
23	IT IS SO ORDERED IT IS SO ORDERED
24	
25	Dated: June 8, 2012
26	Down Wall
27	United States Magistrate Judge
28	DISTRICTOR