

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 EDWARD V. RAY, JR.,

No. C 10-01582 YGR (PR)

4 Petitioner,

Related Case No. C 11-01604 YGR (PR)

5 vs.

**ORDER LIFTING STAY; DIRECTING
 RESPONDENT TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
 THE WRIT SHOULD NOT BE
 GRANTED; AND ADDRESSING
 PENDING MOTIONS**

6 J. SCHOMIG, Warden,

7 Respondent.

8 _____/

9 On April 14, 2010, Petitioner Edward V. Ray, Jr., a state prisoner currently incarcerated at
 10 the Tallahatchie County Correctional Facility (TCCF) in Tutwiler, Mississippi, filed a petition for a
 11 writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. This case was originally assigned to the
 12 Honorable Susan Illston, who issued an Order to Show Cause on September 1, 2010.¹ On April 15,
 13 2011, Judge Illston granted Respondent's motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust state remedies and
 14 gave Petitioner various options as to how to proceed. On June 21, 2011, Judge Illston granted
 15 Petitioner's motion to stay the proceedings in order for him to exhaust the unexhausted claims, and
 16 she administratively closed that case. On December 6, 2011, Judge Illston denied Petitioner's first
 17 motion to reopen this case and proceed with this action because there was "confusion as to whether
 18 he wants this court to consider only the claims identified in the April 15, 2011 order as having been
 19 exhausted OR wants this court to consider those claims plus the claims in the habeas petition that the
 20 California Supreme Court rejected on October 20, 2011." (Dec. 6, 2011 Order at 2.) The denial was
 21 "without prejudice to [P]etitioner filing a new motion to reopen and lift the stay." (*Id.* at 3.)

22 In an Order dated April 11, 2012, the undersigned judge issued an order reassigning
 23 Petitioner's action, stating:

24 Because [Petitioner's] case (Case No. C 10-01582 SI (PR)) has previously been
 25 related to Ray, III's case (Case No. C 11-01604 YGR (PR)), these related cases
 26 should be assigned to the same judge pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-12.

27 _____

28 ¹ On April 1, 2011, Petitioner Edward V. Ray, III (Ray, III), who is Petitioner's son and is also
 a state prisoner currently incarcerated at TCCF, filed a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to
 28 U.S.C. § 2254 in Case No. C 11-01604 YGR (PR). On November 29, 2011, Judge Illston issued a
 Related Case Order, in which she found both Petitioner's and Ray, III's cases to be related.

1 Accordingly, the Clerk of the Court is hereby directed to reassign [Petitioner's] case
2 (Case No. C 10-01582 SI (PR)) to the undersigned judge.

3 (Apr. 11, 2012 Order at 2.)

4 Before the Court is Petitioner's second motion to reopen his case, lift the stay, and move
5 forward with only the claims deemed exhausted by Judge Illston in her April 15, 2011 Order (docket
6 no. 30). Good cause appearing, Petitioner's motion is GRANTED. The Clerk of the Court shall
7 REOPEN this case and serve **a copy of this Order, Judge Illston's September 1, 2010, April 15,**
8 **2011, and December 6, 2011 Orders (docket nos. 5, 14, 28), and the petition and all**
9 **amendments and attachments thereto** upon Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney
10 General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this Order on Petitioner at
11 his most current address.

12 Respondent shall file with this Court and serve upon Petitioner, within **sixty (60) days** of the
13 issuance of this Order, an answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing
14 Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent
15 shall file with the answer a copy of all portions of the state trial record that have been transcribed
16 previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.

17 If Petitioner wishes to respond to the answer, he shall do so by filing a traverse with the
18 Court and serving it on Respondent within **thirty (30) days** of his receipt of the answer. Otherwise,
19 the petition will be deemed submitted and ready for decision thirty days after the date Petitioner is
20 served with Respondent's answer. Petitioner is reminded that all communications with the Court,
21 whether by way of formal legal motions or informal letters, must be served on Respondent by
22 mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel.

23 Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be granted. Any
24 motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than **seven (7) days** prior to the deadline
25 sought to be extended.

26 Also pending before the Court are Petitioner's "Motion to Compel Answer" as well as his
27 "Request for Ruling on Several Motions Pending Made by Counsel for Respondent, and Petitioner"
28 (docket nos. 29, 32).

1 In his "Motion to Compel Answer," Petitioner states that "[t]his Court is responsible under
2 Habeas Rule 4 for specifying the deadline for filing the answer (or "return") to the order to show
3 cause, issued 9-1-10." (Mot. to Compel Ans. at 2.) Petitioner adds that the "answer must be filed
4 within three days . . . [and that] Federal Rule[] of Civil Procedure 81(a)(2) permits additional time of
5 up to 40 days, for good cause." (*Id.*) The Court has already set a briefing schedule above; therefore,
6 Petitioner's "Motion to Compel Answer" is GRANTED in part and DENIED in part (docket no. 29).
7 The parties are directed to abide by the briefing schedule outlined above.

8 Petitioner's "Request for Ruling on Several Motions Pending Made by Counsel for
9 Respondent, and Petitioner" (docket no. 32) is also GRANTED in part and DENIED in part. This
10 Order disposes of the pending motions in the case.

11 The Clerk shall file this Order in both Petitioner's and Ray, III's cases, Case Nos. C 10-01582
12 YGR (PR) and C 11-01604 YGR (PR), and shall send a copy of it to each of the parties in both
13 cases.

14 This Order terminates Docket nos. 29, 30 and 32.

15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED: April 18, 2012


YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28