

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ZOOM ELECTRIC, INC., a  
California corporation,

Petitioner,

v.

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF  
ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL  
595, a labor organization,  
and DOES 1-20,

Respondents.

Case No. 4:11-cv-01699-CW

ORDER SETTING SCHEDULE

INTERNATIONAL BROTHERHOOD OF  
ELECTRICAL WORKERS, LOCAL  
595, a labor organization,

Counter-Plaintiff.

v.

ZOOM ELECTRIC, INC., a  
California corporation; VEIKO  
HORAK, individually and as  
the alter-ego of ZOOM  
ELECTRIC, a sole  
proprietorship; and DOES ONE  
through TEN, inclusive,

Counter-Defendants.

On October 19, 2011, the Court granted in part Respondent and Counter-Plaintiff International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local 595's Motion to continue the briefing schedule for dispositive motions, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-3 and for good cause shown. At that time, the Court set a briefing and hearing schedule based on the understanding that IBEW's deposition of Petitioner and Counter-Defendant Zoom Electric, Inc. (ZEI) and Counter-Defendant Veiko Horak had taken place on October 17, 2011.

1 The Court also directed the parties to inform the Court if the  
2 deposition did not take place on October 17, 2011 and to submit a  
3 joint proposed briefing and hearing calendar.

4 The parties have now represented to the Court that the  
5 deposition did not take place on October 17, 2011, and that it is  
6 now scheduled to occur on November 17, 2011. The parties have  
7 each filed a separate proposed motion calendar. Subsequent to  
8 these filings, on November 8, 2011, ZEI and Horak filed a motion  
9 to dismiss the Union's First Amended Counter-Complaint (Docket No.  
10 60).

11 Having considered the proposals of both parties, the Court  
12 orders the briefing and hearing calendar on all dispositive  
13 motions to be set as follows:

14 Union's Opposition to Motions to Vacate 15 and Dismiss; Cross-Motion to Confirm 16 and Enforce; Motion for Summary 17 Judgment on Union's Second Cause of Action (All contained within a single twenty-five-page brief)	December 8, 2011
18 ZEI and Horak's Reply to Opposition to 19 Motions to Vacate and Dismiss; 20 Opposition to Cross-Motion to Confirm 21 and Enforce; Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment (All contained within a single fifteen-page brief)	December 22, 2011
22 Union's Reply to Opposition to Cross- 23 Motion to Confirm and Enforce; Reply to 24 Opposition to Motion for Summary Judgment (All contained within a single fifteen-page brief)	December 29, 2011
25 Hearing on all Dispositive Motions; 26 Case Management Conference	January 19, 2012, at 2:00 p.m.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

The mediation date is maintained as currently set.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 11/8/2011

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
CLAUDIA WILKEN  
United States District Judge