| 1 2 | Megan Dixon (Cal. Bar. No. 162895) HOGAN LOVELLS US LLP | |
|-----|--|--|
| 3 | 4 Embarcadero Center 22nd Floor | |
| 4 | San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 374-2300 | |
| 5 | Facsimile: (415) 374-2499 E-Mail: megan.dixon@hoganlovells.com | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Defendants | |
| 7 | American Society for Reproductive Medicine and Society for Assisted | |
| 8 | Reproductive Technology | |
| 9 | Rosemary M. Rivas (Cal. Bar No.209147) | Bryan L. Clobes (pro hac vice |
| 10 | FINKELSTEIN THOMPSON LLP 100 Bush Street, Suite 1450 | application forthcoming) CAFFERTY FAUCHER LLP |
| 11 | San Francisco, California 94101 | 1717 Arch Street, 36th Floor Philadelphia, PA 19103 |
| 12 | Telephone: (415) 398-8700 Facsimile: (415) 398-8704 | Telephone: (215) 864-2800 |
| 13 | Email: rrivas@finkelsteinthompson.com | Facsimile: (215) 864-2810 |
| 14 | Attorneys for Plaintiff Lindsay Kamakahi | Attorneys for Plaintiff Lindsay Kamakahi |
| 15 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | NORTHERN DISTRIC | TOF CALIFORNIA |
| 18 | LINDSAY KAMAKAHI, an individual | Case No. 3:11-CV-1781 SBA |
| 19 | on behalf of herself and all others | ORDER GRANTING LOCAL |
| 20 | similarly situated, | CIVIL RULE 6-2 JOINT |
| 21 | Plaintiffs, | STIPULATED REQUEST TO EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO |
| 22 | v. | CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT AND SET BRIEFING SCHEDULE |
| 23 | AMERICAN SOCIETY FOR | |
| 24 | REPRODUCTIVE MEDICINE; | |
| 25 | SOCIETY FOR ASSISTED REPRODUCTIVE TECHNOLOGY; | |
| 26 | PACIFIC FERTILITY CENTER, | |
| 27 | Defendants. | |
| 28 | | |
| | | [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING STIPULATION TO |

27

28

Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, the parties hereto have filed a stipulation extending the time for Defendants American Society of Reproductive Medicine ("ASRM") and Society of Assisted Reproductive Technology ("SART") to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiff Lindsay Kamakahi's Class Action Complaint and setting a briefing schedule in the event that any Defendant in this action files a motion to dismiss.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that:

- 1. The time for Defendants ASRM and SART to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint, which is currently May 20, 2011 for ASRM, and May 24, 2011 for SART, is extended until June 24, 2011.
- 2. In the event that any Defendant in this action shall file a motion to dismiss Plaintiff's Class Action Complaint, the briefing schedule on such motion shall be as follows:

Motion to Dismiss and Supporting Memorandum: June 24, 2011 Plaintiff's Memorandum in Opposition: July 25, 2011 Reply Memorandum August 15, 2011

3. The haring on any motion to dismiss is scheduled for the next available date of October 18, 2011 at 1:00 p.m. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 78(b) and Civil Local Rule 7-1(b), the Court, in its discretion, may resolve the motion without oral argument. The parties are advised to check the Court's website to determine whether a court appearance is required.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 5/24/11

HONORABLE SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE