

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICK MISSUD,
Plaintiff,

No. C 11-1856 PJH

v.

SAN FRANCISCO SUPERIOR COURT,
et al.,
Defendants.

**ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO
SHOW CAUSE, VACATING SHOW
CAUSE HEARING, EXTENDING TIME
TO COMPLETE SERVICE OF PROCESS**

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

Plaintiff timely filed a response to the order to show cause for failure to serve defendants within 120 days of filing the complaint. The August 19, 2011 order to show cause is therefore DISCHARGED. The October 5, 2011, show cause hearing is hereby VACATED.

Plaintiff, an attorney representing himself, filed this action on April 18, 2011, against San Francisco Superior Court, Judge Charlotte Woolard, Court Approved Mediator Michael Carbone, ADR Services Inc., and Does 1 through 200. None of the defendants have been served. Plaintiff recognizes that the court requires good cause for failing to comply with the time limit for service under FRCP 4(m), but "also fears that this Court may be intentionally creating a roadblock to conceal evidence of rampant judicial corruption at the SF Superior Court." Doc. no. 15. Plaintiff also seeks leave to conduct discovery prior to serving the summons and complaint "because once those court documents have been accepted by the Defendants they are more than likely to notice demurrers based on absolute judicial immunity . . . or motion for protective orders to . . . conceal their crimes . . . [preventing]

1 dissemination of any and all evidence so that they can continue to support their
2 racketeering enterprise” Id.

3 Plaintiff fails to demonstrate good cause for the failure to serve defendants. The
4 court hereby grants plaintiff an extension of time of no more than 21 days from the date of
5 this order, by which time plaintiff must serve the summons and complaint and file a
6 certificate of service. Failure to complete service of process within 21 days will result in
7 dismissal of the complaint pursuant to FRCP 4(m) and 41(b). No further extensions of time
8 will be granted. Plaintiff’s request for judicial notice is DENIED.

9 The court will set a case management conference after plaintiff files the certificates
10 of service.

11 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

12
13 Dated: September 30, 2011



14 _____
15 PHYLLIS J. HAMILTON
16 United States District Judge
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28