1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
3	OAKLAND DIVISION	
4		
5	CRAIG YATES, an individual,	Case No: C 11-1950 SBA
6	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING STIPULATION
7	VS.	TO MODIFY PRETRIAL SCHEDULE
8	SWEET POTATO ENTERPRISES, INC., a	Dkt. 21
9	California corporation dba POPEYÉS STÓRE # 2794; et al.,	
10	Defendants.	
11		
12	The parties have filed a stipulation to modify the Court's pretrial schedule. Federal	
13	Rule of Civil Procedure 16 provides that deadlines established in a pretrial scheduling may	
14	"be modified only for good cause[.]" Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4). "Good cause" exists when a	
15	deadline "cannot reasonably be met despite the diligence of the party seeking the	
16	extension." Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604, 609 (9th Cir. 1992)	
17	(citation omitted). Thus, "Rule 16(b)'s 'good cause' standard primarily considers the	
18	diligence of the party seeking the amendment." Id.; see also Coleman v. Quaker Oats Co.,	
19	232 F.3d 1271, 1294 (9th Cir. 2000). Where the moving party has not been diligent, the	
20	inquiry ends and the motion should be denied. Zivkovic v. S. Cal. Edison Co., 302 F.3d	
21	1080, 1087 (9th Cir.2002); Johnson, 975 F.2d at 609. Here, the parties' stipulation fails to	
22	specify the basis or otherwise establish good cause for their proposed request.	
23	Accordingly,	
24	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the parties' stipulation to modify the Court's	
25	pretrial scheduling order is DENIED.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: 10/18/12	_ familie B. Ormething
28	SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge	