

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOSE REYES, an individual;
LOURDES SANTOS-REYES, an
individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

WMC MORTGAGE CORPORATION, a
California Corporation; OCWEN
LOAN SERVICING LLC, a Delaware
Limited Liability Company; WELLS
FARGO BANK, N.A.; TD SERVICE
COMPANY, a Business Entity, form
unknown; CRESTLINE FINANCIAL &
MARKETING SERVICE, a Business
Entity, form unknown; MORTGAGE
ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS,
INC., a Delaware Corporation,

Defendants.

No. C 11-1988 CW

ORDER EXTENDING
DEADLINE FOR
PLAINTIFFS'
AMENDED COMPLAINT

On March 23, 2012, the Court ordered Plaintiffs, pursuant to
Local Rule 3-11(b), to provide the Court with a current address.
Rule 3-11(b) requires the attorney or pro se party to submit to
the Court, within sixty days of returned mail, a written
communication indicating a current address, or face dismissal of
the case without prejudice for failure to prosecute. Plaintiffs
have failed to comply thus far.

1 On March 28, 2012, the Court granted the motions to dismiss
2 submitted by Defendants Ocwen Loan Servicing, LLC; Wells Fargo
3 Bank, N.A., as Trustee for the Pooling and Servicing Agreement
4 Dated as of June 1, 2004 Merrill Lynch Mortgage Investors Trust
5 Mortgage Loan Asset-Backed Certificates, Series 2004-WMC4,
6 erroneously sued as Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; Mortgage Electronic
7 Registration Systems, Inc.; and WMC Mortgage Corporation. The
8 Court allowed Plaintiffs until April 11, 2012, two weeks following
9 the date of the order, to file an amended complaint. The Court's
10 March 28, 2012 order was mailed to pro se Plaintiffs at their
11 address of record, 2613 Glen Harwick Court, San Jose, CA 95148-
12 2541. However, on April 6, 2012, the mail was returned as
13 undeliverable.

14 On April 9, 2012, the Court resent the order to a second,
15 possible address for Plaintiffs, provided by Defendants, 1625
16 Tully Road #A, San Jose, CA 95122. Given the date of this second
17 mailing, the Court extends the deadline for Plaintiffs to amend
18 their complaint to April 25, 2012.

19 Again, Plaintiffs are reminded of their obligation to update
20 their address of record, or face dismissal of their action for
21 failure to prosecute.

22 IT IS SO ORDERED.

23
24 Dated: 4/10/2012


CLAUDIA WILKEN
United States District Judge

25
26
27
28