

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARK ANTHONY CANDLER,
Plaintiff,
v.
SANTA RITA COUNTY JAIL WATCH
COMMANDER, et al.,
Defendants.

Case No. [11-cv-01992-CW](#) (MEJ)

DISCOVERY ORDER

Re: Dkt. No. 66

On May 21, 2014, the Court granted Plaintiff Mark Anthony Candler’s request for production of a Memorandum Re: Classification of Inmates, but permitted Defendants to redact any information that identifies inmates other than Plaintiff and any details that would permit Plaintiff to figure out the identity of other inmates or adverse witnesses, as well as any information which would present a grave security risk to the staff and inmates. Discovery Order at 8-9, Dkt. No. 63. The Court also granted Plaintiff’s motion to compel a further response from Sgt. Snider as to: (1) any incidents where Sgt. Snider determined that Plaintiff was an immediate threat to other inmates during the period of his incarceration as a pretrial detainee at the Santa Rita County Jail; and (2) any incidents where Sgt. Snider determined that Plaintiff was an immediate danger to Santa Rita Jail security during the period of his incarceration as a pretrial detainee at the Santa Rita County Jail. *Id.* at 9.

Now before the Court is a follow up dispute letter from the parties, filed September 3, 2014. Dkt. No. 66. In the letter, Plaintiff again requests that the Court order Defendants to produce an unredacted version of the memo, arguing that the names “would show this court or jury, that once the D.A. Memo was issued, the due process rights that were clearly established in *Hewitt v. Helms* (1983) were meaningless and a ‘pretext for indefinite confinement’ in isolation.”

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Jt. Ltr. at 2. Plaintiff also requests that the Court order Defendants to provide a further supplemental response of Sgt. Snider. *Id.* at 7.

Upon review of the parties' arguments, the Court ORDERS as follows:

- 1) For the reasons stated in its previous Order, Plaintiff's request for production of the unredacted memo is DENIED, except that Defendants shall produce a version with Plaintiff's name unredacted; and
- 2) As Sgt. Snider had no role in Plaintiff's housing assignment, Plaintiff's request for a supplemental response is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 4, 2014



MARIA-ELENA JAMES
United States Magistrate Judge

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

3 MARK ANTHONY CANDLER,

4 Plaintiff,

5 v.

6 SANTA RITA COUNTY JAIL WATCH
7 COMMANDER, et al.,

8 Defendants.

Case No. [11-cv-01992-CW](#) (MEJ)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

9 I, the undersigned, hereby certify that I am an employee in the Office of the Clerk, U.S.
10 District Court, Northern District of California.

11 That on 9/4/2014, I SERVED a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached, by placing said
12 copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed, by depositing
13 said envelope in the U.S. Mail, or by placing said copy(ies) into an inter-office delivery receptacle
14 located in the Clerk's office.

15 Mark Anthony Candler ID: AF7322
16 Centinela State Prison
17 C-2-134
18 P.O. Box 921
19 Imperial, CA 92251

20 Dated: 9/4/2014

21 Richard W. Wieking
22 Clerk, United States District Court

23 By: 
24 Chris Nathan, Deputy Clerk to the
25 Honorable MARIA-ELENA JAMES
26
27
28

United States District Court
Northern District of California