1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

Doc. 55

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA PAUL SAMUEL JOHNSON, Plaintiff, v. WARDEN FONG, et al., Defendants. IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Case No.: 11-02058 CW (PR) ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE NON-PRISONER <u>IN FORMA PAUPERIS</u> APPLCATION, DISMISSING COMPLAINT WITH LEAVE TO AMEND, AND DENYING MOTIONS FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL (Docket nos. 47, 51)

## INTRODUCTION

The Court granted Plaintiff, who at the time he filed the 12 present complaint was a state prisoner proceeding pro se, leave to 13 proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) in this civil rights action.<sup>1</sup> 14 Upon review of the allegations in the complaint, the Court 15 dismissed the complaint without prejudice and without leave to 16 amend for the following reasons: (1) Plaintiff's request for the 17 restoration of credits forfeited as the result of an alleged 18 unlawful disciplinary hearing is moot because he ultimately was 19 not assessed any credit loss; (2) even if not moot, such request 20 is not cognizable in a civil rights action and must be brought in 21 a petition for a writ of habeas corpus; (3) Heck v. Humphrey, 512 22 U.S. 477 (1994), bars Plaintiff's damages claim based on the 23 alleged unconstitutional deprivation of time credits because such 24 claim necessarily calls into question the lawfulness of the 25 duration of Plaintiff's sentence; (4) Plaintiff's claim for 26

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

- 27 28
- <sup>1</sup> Plaintiff no longer is incarcerated.

injunctive relief to remedy his alleged unlawful conditions of confinement at San Quentin State Prison (SQSP) is moot, because Plaintiff no longer is incarcerated there; (5) the allegations in the complaint, together with the documents attached thereto, show that Plaintiff did not exhaust administrative remedies with respect to his claim concerning unlawful conditions of confinement at SQSP. See Docket no. 35.

8 On appeal, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth 9 Circuit affirmed this Court's order of dismissal with respect to 10 all claims except Plaintiff's damages claim concerning unlawful 11 conditions of confinement at SQSP. Docket no. 52. Specifically, 12 the Ninth Circuit held that claim should not have been dismissed 13 because it was not clear from the complaint and attachments 14 thereto that the claim is unexhausted. Consequently, the case was 15 remanded "for further proceedings consistent with this 16 disposition." Id. at 2.

## DISCUSSION

18 I. Plaintiff's IFP Status

Plaintiff filed the present pro se civil rights action and an application seeking leave to proceed IFP when he was incarcerated at the California State Prison - Solano. The Court granted Plaintiff IFP status, but later revoked that status when Plaintiff sought leave to proceed IFP on appeal. Docket nos. 34, 43.

Ordinarily, a plaintiff is permitted to file a civil action in federal court without prepayment of fees or security if he alleges in an affidavit that he is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. <u>See</u> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). But, if the plaintiff is a prisoner who alleges that he is unable to pay the

2

1 full filing fee at the time of filing, he will be required to pay 2 the full amount of the filing fee even if he is granted IFP See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1). This is done by way of an status. 3 "installment plan," whereby the court will assess an initial 4 payment, and the prisoner will be required thereafter to make 5 monthly payments of twenty percent of the preceding month's income 6 credited to his prison trust account. See id. 7

If a prisoner is released, however, the court will be unable to collect the funds from his prisoner trust account as required under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b). Consequently, because Plaintiff has been released from custody, he now must apply to proceed IFP under the general provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1), as set forth in the Conclusion of this Order. 12

Plaintiff's Conditions of Confinement Claim II.

Plaintiff's complaint, including attachments, is ninety-two 14 pages long. It includes allegations concerning the claims that 15 are no longer part of this action as well as Plaintiff's one 16 remaining claim alleging unlawful conditions of confinement at 17 SQSP. With respect to the latter, which is the only claim that 18 remains at issue in this case, the allegations are lengthy and 19 vague. See Docket no. 1. 20

Rule 8(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires 21 that the complaint set forth a "short and plain statement of the 22 claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief." Α 23 complaint that fails to state the specific acts of the defendant 24 that violated the plaintiff's rights fails to meet the notice 25 requirements of Rule 8(a). See Hutchinson v. United States, 677 26 F.2d 1322, 1328 n.5 (9th Cir. 1982). Additionally, Rule 8(e) 27 requires that each averment of a pleading be "simple, concise, and 28

8

9

10

11

13

1 direct." See McHenry v. Renne, 84 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 1996) 2 (affirming dismissal of complaint that was "argumentative, prolix, 3 replete with redundancy, and largely irrelevant"). While the federal rules require brevity in pleading, a complaint 4 5 nevertheless must be sufficient to give the defendants "fair 6 notice" of the claim and the "grounds upon which it rests." 7 Erickson v. Pardus, 551 U.S. 89, 93 (2007) (quotation and citation 8 omitted).

9 Here, Plaintiff's claim for damages based on unlawful 10 conditions of confinement at SQSP cannot proceed as plead because he has not clearly and concisely set forth his claim against any 11 Defendant and has failed to provide information sufficiently 12 simple, concise and direct for the Court to determine whether the 13 allegations state a cognizable claim for relief. Accordingly, the 14 complaint is DISMISSED for failure to state a claim upon which 15 relief may be granted. Plaintiff may file an amended complaint 16 that addresses only his claim of unlawful conditions of 17 confinement at SQSP and cures the noted pleading deficiencies by 18 alleging facts (1) that are sufficient for the Court to determine 19 whether he states a claim for the violation of his constitutional 20 rights, (2) that link each Defendant to the injury for which that 21 Defendant is alleged to be responsible, and (3) that specify and 22 link the relief he seeks to a particular Defendant or Defendants.<sup>2</sup> 23

<sup>24</sup> <sup>2</sup> Plaintiff is informed that the Court will not consider in <sup>25</sup> this action any claim other than the noted claim concerning <sup>26</sup> unlawful conditions of confinement at SQSP. Further, while <sup>26</sup> Plaintiff is not required to plead the exhaustion of <sup>27</sup> administrative remedies in his amended complaint, he is informed <sup>27</sup> that if the claim has not been exhausted it will be subject to <sup>28</sup> dismissal.

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1 C. Motions for the Appointment of Counsel

2 Plaintiff's motions for the appointment of counsel are DENIED 3 as premature. The Court will not consider any motion for the 4 appointment of counsel unless and until the complaint is ordered 5 served.

## CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court orders as follows: 8 No later than fourteen days from the date of this Order, 1. Plaintiff either shall (1) pay the \$350.00 filing fee in this 9 action, or (2) file a completed non-prisoner IFP application.

If Plaintiff fails to timely pay the filing fee or file a completed non-prisoner IFP application, the case will be dismissed 12 without prejudice and will be closed.

13

6

7

10

11

14

17

18

19

20

2. The complaint is DISMISSED with leave to amend.

Plaintiff shall file an amended complaint no later than 15 fourteen days from the date of this Order. He shall use the 16 court's civil rights complaint form, a copy of which is provided herewith, and include in the caption both the case number of this action, No. C 11-2058 CW (PR), and the heading, "AMENDED COMPLAINT."

If Plaintiff fails to timely file an amended complaint in 21 conformity with this Order, the case will be dismissed without 22 prejudice and will be closed. 23

3. Plaintiff's motions for the appointment of counsel are 24 DENIED. 25

It is Plaintiff's responsibility to prosecute this case. 4. 26 Plaintiff must keep the Court informed of any change of address 27 and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. 28

For the Northern District of California **United States District Court** 

Failure to do so may result in the dismissal of this action, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), for failure to prosecute.

5. The Clerk of the Court shall update the docket to
reflect Plaintiff's current address in Rohnert Park, and shall
send Plaintiff a non-prisoner IFP application and a civil rights
complaint form.

This Order terminates Docket nos. 47 and 51.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: 12/17/2012

CLAUDIA WILKEN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court For the Northern District of California